The effects of lovastatin on the morphology, cell viability and differentiation of stem cells derived from gingiva.
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Abstract

The aim of this study is to evaluate the effects of lovastatin on the cell viability and osteogenic differentiation of human gingiva-derived stem cells. Stem cells were cultured in the presence of lovastatin at concentrations ranging from 2 μM to 6 μM. The morphology and cell viability were evaluated on days 2, 7, and 14. The alkaline phosphatase activity test and alizarin red-S staining were used to assess the osteogenic differentiation and mineralization. Quantitative real-time polymerase chain reaction was used to evaluate the mRNA levels of Runt-related transcription factor 2 (Runx2) and collagen I. Immunofluorescent assays were performed for Runx2 and collagen I, and protein expressions were measured, including those of Runx2 and collagen I, using Western blot analysis. The shapes of the cells in 2 μM lovastatin were similar to those of the untreated control group, showing revealed a spindle-shaped, fibroblast-like morphology. However, the shapes of the cells in the 6 μM groups were rounder, and fewer cells were present. The CCK-8 values of 0 μM, 2 μM, and 6 μM at day 14 were 207.4 ± 15.5, 188.1 ± 13.3, and 166.0 ± 32.3, respectively (P<0.05). Decreased mineralization was noted in the 2 μM and 6 μM groups, when compared the 0 μM control. The relative alkaline phosphatase activity values of the 0 μM, 2 μM, and 6 μM groups were 100.0 ± 6.8, 70.5 ± 6.8, and 57.5 ± 5.8 on day 14, respectively. The expressions of Runx2 and collagen I by immunofluorescence decreased as the dose of lovastatin increased. The application of lovastatin produced decreased cell viability and decreased osteogenic differentiation in this experimental setting. It should be considered that the use of higher doses of statin may yield a negative effect on cell viability and differentiation of stem cells.

Keywords: Cell differentiation, Cell proliferation, Cell survival, Gingiva, Lovastatin, Stem cells.

Introduction

Statin is a specific inhibitor of 3-hydroxy-3-methyl-glutaryl coenzyme A reductase [1]. It is known to be a rate-limiting enzyme involved in the cholesterol synthesis pathway. Statins are shown to have immunomodulatory and anti-inflammatory activities and may prove invaluable in treating immunological and inflammatory disorders [2]. Statins have been reported to have anabolic effects on bone and may produce positive effects on both the differentiation and mineralization of osteoprecursor cells [3,4].

Stem cells are known to possess the capacity for self-renewal and the potential for differentiation into chondrogenic, adipogenic and osteogenic cells [5]. These cells have been applied for the engineering of various tissues, including for bone, cartilage, fat, and other connective tissue [6]. These cells can be obtained from bone marrow, adipose tissue and turbinate tissues [7,8]. Our groups have isolated mesenchymal stem cells and characterized from the human gingiva; these cells can be under local anesthesia during the daily practices [9].

Lovastatin is a cholesterol lowering agent, along with its family member’s simvastatin, atorvastatin and pravastatin [10]. It has been reported as being representative of therapeutic regimens. Lovastatin is shown to inhibit the cell proliferation, cell migration, and cell adhesion of cancer cells [11]. Moreover, statin has been demonstrated to promote osteoblastic activity [1,12]. However, the effects of lovastatin on mesenchymal stem cells are not well revealed. Thus, the aim of this study is to evaluate the effects of lovastatin on the cell viability and osteogenic differentiation of human gingiva-derived stem cells. The alkaline phosphatase activity test and alizarin red-S staining were used to assess the differentiation and mineralization of the treated cells. Quantitative real-time polymerase chain reaction was used to evaluate the mRNA levels of Runt-related transcription factor 2 (Runx2) and collagen I, and protein expressions of Runx2 and collagen I were measured using Western blot analysis. To our knowledge, this investigation is the first to elucidate the effects of lovastatin on the expressions of Runx2 and collagen I in mesenchymal stem cells derived from gingiva.
Materials and Methods

Isolation and culture of human gingiva-derived stem cells

Isolation and culture of human gingiva-derived stem cells were performed following a previously reported method [7]. The Institutional Review Board has approved this study and the informed consent was obtained from the participants. The obtained gingival tissues were de-epithelialized, minced into 1-2 mm² fragments, and digested in an alpha-modified, minimal essential medium (α-MEM, Gibco, Grand Island, NY, USA) containing dispase (1 mg/ml; Sigma-Aldrich Co., St. Louis, MO, USA) and collagenase IV (2 mg/ml; Sigma-Aldrich Co.). Cells were incubated at 37°C in a humidified incubator with 5% CO₂ and 95% O₂ and replaced with a fresh medium; the media were changed every 2-3 days.

Evaluation of stem cell morphology

The stem cells were incubated in α-MEM, fetal bovine serum, ascorbic acid 2-phosphate, glutamine, penicillin, and streptomycin in the presence of the lovastatin at final concentrations of 0 μM (untreated control), 2 μM, and 6 μM. On days 2, 7, and 14, an inverted microscope (Leica DM IRM, Leica Microsystems, Wetzlar, Germany) was used to evaluated the cell morphology.

Determination of cell viability

Cell viability was analysed on days 2, 7, and 14. Viable cells were identified using a Cell Counting Kit-8 (CCK-8, Dojindo, Tokyo, Japan) assay. The spectrophotometric absorbance of the samples was measured using a plate reader (BioTek, Winooski, VT, USA) at 450 nm (n=3).

Alizarin red S staining

On day 14, alizarin red S assays were performed. Cells were washed with phosphate-buffered saline (PBS; Welgene, Daegu, South Korea), fixed with ethanol. These cells were stained with alizarin red S at room temperature, and the cells were viewed under a microscope.

Alkaline phosphatase activity assays

On day 14, alkaline phosphatase activity assays were done. Trypsin (Gibco) was used to detach the cells and a commercially available kit (K412-500, BioVision, Inc., Milpitas, CA, USA) was used to perform alkaline phosphatase activity assays. Microplate reader (BioTek) was used to measure the spectrophotometric absorbance of the samples.

Total RNA extraction and quantification by real-time polymerase chain reaction (RT-PCR)

The human gingiva-derived stem cells were harvested on day 14. Total RNA was isolated using a GeneJET RNA Purification kit (Thermo Fisher Scientific, Inc., Waltham, MA, USA), and quantities were determined by spectrophotometer (ND-2000, Thermo Fisher Scientific, Inc., Wilmington, DE, USA) with ratios of absorbance at 260 and 280 nm.

The sense and antisense primers were designed based on GenBank. The primer sequences were as follows: Runx-2 Forward 5’: AAT GAT GGT GTT GAC GCT GA-3’; Reverse 5’: TTG ATA CGT GTG GGA TGT GG-3’; Collagen I Forward 5’: TCA TGG CCC TCC AGC CCC CAT3’; and Reverse 5’: ATG CCT CTT GTC CTT GGG GTT C-3’. b-actin served as a housekeeping gene for normalization. mRNA expression was detected by RT-PCR using SYBR Green Real-Time PCR Master Mixes (Enzymomics, Daejeon, South Korea) according to the manufacturer’s protocol. The quantitative RT-PCR experiments were repeated three times.

Immunofluorescence

An immunofluorescent assay was performed for Runx2 and collagen I on day 14. The cells were fixed, permeabilized, blocked, and incubated with primary antibodies. The cultures were incubated with fluorescein isothiocyanate-conjugated secondary antibody, and then the washed cells were stained with 4', 6-Diamidino-2-Phenylindole (DAPI). Analyses were performed using a fluorescence microscope (Axiovert 200, Zeiss, Jena, Germany).

Western blot analysis

The cells were washed twice with ice-cold phosphate-buffered saline and solubilized in lysis buffer (Thermo Fisher Scientific, Inc., Waltham, MA, USA) with protease and phosphatase inhibitor cocktail (Thermo Fisher Scientific, Inc.) and phenylmethylsulfonyl fluoride (Sigma-Aldrich Co.) according to the manufacturers’ protocols. Whole cell lysates were quantified using the BCA assay (Thermo Fisher Scientific, Inc.). Protein samples were separated using sodium dodecyl sulfate polyacrylamide gel electrophoresis and then transferred for immunoblotting. The membranes were incubated with the primary antibodies overnight at 4°C, and membranes were incubated with secondary antibody for 1 hour at room temperature. Antibodies against Runx2 antibody, collagen I, and GAPDH as well as secondary antibodies linked with horseradish peroxidase were purchased from Abcam (Cambridge, UK) and BD Bioscience (San Jose, CA, USA).

Statistical analysis

The data are represented as means ± standard deviations of the experiments. Commercially available program (SPSS 12 for Windows, SPSS Inc., Chicago, IL, USA) was used to evaluate the differences between the groups by either Student’s t-test or a two-way Analysis of Variance (ANOVA) with post hoc test. The level of statistical significance was considered as 0.05.
Results

**Evaluation of cell morphology and cell viability**

The untreated control group showed fibroblast-like morphology on day 2 (Figure 1). The shapes of the cells in 2 μM group were similar to the control group. However, the shapes of the cells in the 6 μM group were rounder with fewer cells. The morphologies of the cells on days 7 and 14 are shown in Figure 1. The shapes of the cells in the 2 μM groups were similar to those of the control group but not the 6 μM group.

The cell viability results obtained at days 2, 7, and 14 are shown in Figure 2. The CCK-8 assay values seemed to increase as culturing time increased. The Cell Counting Kit-8 assay values of the 0 μM, 2 μM, and 6 μM group at day 2 were 100.0 ± 3.9, 105.8 ± 7.7, and 103.0 ± 13.7, respectively (P>0.05). The Cell Counting Kit-8 assay values of the 0 μM, 2 μM, and 6 μM group at day 7 were 124.4 ± 5.8, 128.7 ± 14.3, and 133.5 ± 18.7, respectively. The values of the 0 μM, 2 μM, and 6 μM groups at day 14 were 207.4 ± 15.5, 188.1 ± 13.3, and 166.0 ± 32.3, respectively (P<0.05).

**Mineralization assay**

On day 14, Alizarin Red S staining revealed mineralized deposits was minimal (Figure 3). Decreased mineralization was noted in the 2 μM and 6 μM groups when compared to the 0 μM control.

**Alkaline phosphatase activity assays**

For the mesenchymal stem cells treated with lovastatin, the alkaline phosphatase activity decreased from the 0 μM to 6 μM groups (P<0.05) (Figure 4). The relative values of the 0 μM, 2 μM, and 6 μM groups on day 14 were 100.0 ± 6.8, 70.5 ± 6.8, and 57.5 ± 5.8, respectively.

**Validation of mRNA expression by real-time polymerase chain reaction**

The quantitative RT-PCR results for the mRNA levels of Runx2 and collagen I are shown in Figure 5. The relative expressions of Runx2 among the 0 μM, 2 μM, and 6 μM groups at day 14 were 100.0 ± 7.0, 7.1 ± 3.3, and 171.0 ± 20.7, respectively. The relative expressions of collagen I among the 0 μM, 2 μM, and 6 μM groups at day 7 were 100.0 ± 6.0, 22.7 ± 0.8, and 18.6 ± 0.2, respectively.

**Immunofluorescence**

The immunofluorescent assays for Runx2 and collagen I are shown in Figure 6. Runx2 expression was shown to decrease as the dose of lovastatin increased. The expression of collagen I
seemed to show similar trends: it decreased as the dose of lovastatin increased.

Figure 4. Alkaline phosphatase activity on day 14. *Statistically significant differences noted versus the control group.

Figure 5. Quantitative RT-PCR results of Runx2 and collagen I expression on day 14. *Statistically significant decrease in Runx2 expression was seen when compared with the 0 μM group. **Statistically significant difference in Runx2 expression was seen when compared with the 0 μM group. ***Significant decrease in collagen I expression was seen on day 7 with the 2 μM and 6 μM groups when compared with the 0 μM group.

Figure 6. Immunofluorescence results of Runx2 and collagen I expression performed on day 14. A. Runx2 expression of the 0 μM group, B. Runx2 expression of the 2 μM group, C. Runx2 expression of the 6 μM group, D. Collagen I expression of the 0 μM group, E. Collagen I expression of the 2 μM group, F. Collagen I expression of the 6 μM group.

Western blot

Western blot analysis was performed to detect the protein expression of Runx2, collagen I and GAPDH (Figure 7). The expression of Runx2 and collagen I seemed to decrease in the 2 μM and 6 μM groups when compared with the untreated control.

Normalization of the protein expressions of Runx2 on day 14 showed that the 0 μM, 2 μM, and 6 μM groups yielded 100.0, 94.4 and 45.2, respectively. Normalization of the protein expressions of collagen I on day 14 revealed that 0 μM, 2 μM, and 6 μM groups yielded 100.0 ± 2.2, 47.9 ± 34.1, and 28.0 ± 1.4, respectively (Figure 8).

Figure 7. Western blot analysis to detect the protein expressions of Runx2 and collagen I at day 14.
Discussion

This study clearly shows that lovastatin in the tested concentrations decreased the viability of stem cells derived from gingiva and decreased the osteogenic differentiation of the stem cells via the Runx2 and collagen I pathway.

This report discusses the effects of lovastatin on the morphology of cells under predetermined concentrations (2 μM to 6 μM). In a previous study, lovastatin inhibited the proliferation of carcinoma cells: after 24 hours of exposure at 5 μM and 10 μM concentrations, cell growth decreased by 20 to 50%, respectively [11]. It was also shown that 10 µM of lovastatin inhibited the cell proliferation of cholangiocarcinoma cells [11]. Additionally, the stimulatory effects were shown at 1 μM of statin in human bone marrow stromal cells [2]. The lovastatin may have inhibited cell proliferation through transforming growth factor-β1 to block cyclooxygenase-2 and intercellular adhesion molecule-1 mRNA expression through serine/threonine kinase 11. Similarly, this study clearly showed decreased proliferation of stem cells at the tested concentrations. Although cytotoxicity evaluation of the lovastatin itself did not affect the morphology of the mesenchymal stem cells at 2 μM, higher doses of lovastatin (6 μM) produced rounder shapes with fewer cells. In this study, cell viability was evaluated using a Cell Counting Kit-8 assay, which is based on mitochondrial enzyme activity and the quantification of generated formazan [13]. Other methods may be applied to test cell proliferation [14-17]. Trypan blue is based on the principle that live cells have intact cell membranes which prevent penetration of the trypan blue dye [14]. A protein assay measures the protein content of the tested cells and this may be considered as an indirect measurement [15]. The MTT assay measures viability by analyzing the mitochondrial dehydrogenase activity of live cells; however, it requires the solubilization of formazan crystals [16]. A thymidine incorporation assay can be applied to evaluate cell proliferation [17].

Osteogenic differentiation can be evaluated through alkaline phosphatase activity, which is an early marker of osteogenesis [18]. Following the period of matrix maturation, nodules cells begin to mineralize the extracellular matrix and Alizarin Red S staining is used to evaluate the amount of calcium deposits [19]. In this study, decreased mineralization and alkaline phosphatase activity were noted in a dose-dependent manner. However, in a previous study, the transdermal application of lovastatin onto rats caused profound increases in bone formation [20]. Similarly, the application of lovastatin is reported to enhance fracture healing [21,22]. The differences in the type cells, stages of the cells, the duration of the culturing time, and the system can explain the differences in the responses [1].

Qualitative RT-PCR and Western blot analysis were performed to detect the mRNA and protein expression of Runx2 and collagen I to provide information on possible mechanisms. Runx2 is reported to regulate a complex gene-regulatory network [23]. Runx2 is shown to be the transcription factor required for the osteoblast lineage [24]. Runx2 protein can be detected in preosteoblasts, and its expression is upregulated in immature osteoblasts [24]. However, it is downregulated in mature osteoblasts [24]. Type I collagen is also involved in regulating the osteoblast phenotype and is shown to possess the ability to stimulate alkaline phosphatase activity [25]. The decrease of Runx2 and collagen I expression was also seen with immunofluorescent assay.

The effects of lovastatin on the cell viability and osteogenic differentiation of stem cells derived from human gingiva were evaluated. Applying lovastatin produced decreased cell viability and decreased osteogenic differentiation in this experimental setting. It should be considered that the use of higher doses of statin may yield a negative effect on cell viability and differentiation of stem cells.
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