Resynchronization in the left superior vena cava.
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Abstract

Background: Persistent Left Superior Vena Cava (PLSVC) is a rare congenital anomaly which is typically asymptomatic and discovered incidentally during device implantation.

Methods: In this case, we present challenges and techniques of Cardiac Resynchronization Therapy (CRT) in a patient with PLSVC.

Results: After evaluating the exact anatomy of PLSVC and Coronary Sinus (CS), we chose the appropriate approach and equipment’s. By using a J shaped stylet, a guide wire and inner sub-selection catheter, we performed implantation successfully.

Conclusion: In most cases of PLSVC, successful cardiac device implantation is possible with increasing operator experience, cardiac imaging and appropriate tools.
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Introduction

PLSVC is the most common congenital thoracic venous anomaly with a prevalence of 0.3-0.5% in general population [1]. In most cases, PLSVC exists together with the Right Superior Vena Cava (RSVC) and communicates to the right atrium via (CS) [2]. Isolated PLSVC is a rare variation, characterized by dilated CS [3]. It is typically asymptomatic and discovered incidentally during device implantation [4-13]. Transvenous placement of cardiac device lead via PLSVC can be technically difficult, and in some cases, impossible [7,14]. Although transvenous implantation of a pacemaker was reported as early as 1970s, there is still little experience with CRT implantation [15]. Also there is no well accepted technique and equipment for such patients. We present a patient without previous documentation of systemic superior venous anomalies or congenital heart disease that needed CRT-D device implantation and was discovered to have PLSVC during the implantation procedure.

Case Report

A 42-y old man with non-ischemic dilated cardiomyopathy, severe Left Ventricular (LV) systolic dysfunction (LV ejection fraction=28%), left bundle branch block (QRS width 170 ms), NYHA class III symptoms (on optimal medical management) was referred to our service for CRT-D device insertion. During left subclavian puncture, guide wire went through a left sided venous structure. We suspected PLSVC and procedure was delayed because of requirement for suitable equipment. A contrast enhanced CT was performed for the exact anatomical structure. It revealed PLSVC without a bridging innominate vein and poorly developed RSVC. Also it showed a Posterolateral (PL) coronary vein draining into a huge CS.

We decided to implant the CRT-D from left pectoral side for more effective defibrillation and besides, patient had poorly developed RSVC. After evaluating the anatomy of PLSVC and CS and preparing the equipment, patient was taken catheterization laboratory once again. Coronary angiography was performed to identify exact anatomy of CS and suitable branch for lead insertion and it confirmed PL vein. Venography from the left subclavian vein demonstrated that left subclavian vein drains into PLSVC and PL SVC drains into CS (Figure 1A). CS venography was done with a pigtail giving contrast with low pressure and sufficient to identify the confluence of the CS and branches (Figure 1B). Because of the huge CS, posterolateral vein was selectively intubated with an inner sub-selection catheter. Firstly, active fixation Right Ventricular (RV) lead was advanced into the Right Atrium (RA) through the PLSVC and CS and the stylet was pre-shaped like a high angled J (Figure 2A). Then, a 0.038 guide wire passing through
the RA, tricuspid valve to RV was used for neighbouring and guiding to facilitate passage of RV lead into the RV (Figure 2B). After the insertion of RV lead, an active-fixation atrial lead was positioned on the RA free wall. Lastly, an over-the-wire LV lead was successfully deployed through the intubated inner sub-selection catheter to a stable position in the PL vein with a capture threshold of 0.7 V and an R wave of 13.2 mV (Figure 3A). A CRT-D device was connected and implantation was successfully completed (Figure 3B).

Discussion

There are numerous case reports of successful implantation of various types of cardiac devices from the left subclavian approach in patients with PLSVC, including pacemaker (single and dual chamber), Cardioverter Defibrillator (ICD) (single and dual chamber) [16-19].

The complexity of anatomic variants may complicate CRT device implantation. Using various techniques [16,20-22] it is possible to insert cardiac leads via persistent LSVC to the appropriate site in 80% of patients [7,21,23]. The major difficulty relates to the right ventricular lead implantation, as the tip of the lead is deflected away from the tricuspid annulus [24]. A J-shaped stylet and a neighbouring wire may overcome this problem as we did. Another major problem relates to the placing of the left ventricular pacing lead in the coronary sinus branch because of the dilated CS. Also failure in visualizing the suitable CS side branch in a huge CS for implantation increases both procedure time and radiation exposure. Although venography is not routinely required, it is helpful in order to identify the venous anatomy [6]. If possible, using cardiac imaging techniques before the procedure and selecting the most appropriate approach with suitable tools (stylets, leads, cannulation catheters and guidewires) increase the chance of success [6]. Options of physicians for better outcome are; pre-operative CT or MRI angiogram with contrast to image coronary sinus branches, power injection (pig tail) in the coronary sinus via left side, balloon occlusion venogram in the great cardiac vein for filling branches retrograde and left coronary artery angiogram with levophase visualization of CS branches. For this purpose we used different modalities to understand exact anatomy. CS venography with a pigtail really decreased procedure time and marked entry point for the PL vein. An inner catheter was inserted easily through this entry point. To avoid lead displacements because of the angulations between CS and RV apex, active fixation leads must be offered [6].

Conclusion

In conclusion, PLSVC may severely complicate left-sided cardiac implantable electronic devices implantation and require alternative approaches for the lead insertion. However, with increasing operator experience, cardiac imaging and appropriate tools successful cardiac implantable electronic devices implantation is possible in most cases.
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