Oral ketamine administration for radiation therapy in children.
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Abstract

Background: Radiotherapy is a reliable and effective treatment for various malignancies and can be used for both curative and palliative/prophylactic ends. In order to achieve accuracy, reliability and success in the implementation of radiotherapy, it is imperative that patients adapt to the treatment and remain motionless.

Material and methods: In this study, the records of anesthetic agents utilized in 26 patients aged 2-10 being treated by external beam radiotherapy were examined.

Results: Radiotherapy under anesthesia was implemented in a total of 56 sessions conducted on 26 patients. During the radiotherapy applications, only 10 (17%) sessions required the use of an extra dose of ketamine. The radiotherapy session duration was 6.3 ± 2.4 minutes. (The anesthesia start time was 20.5 ± 4.6.) Additionally, the patients' recovery time from anesthesia was found to be 68.6 ± 6.2. 61.5% of the patients were administered prophylactic cranial radiotherapy due to acute lymphoblastic leukaemia (ALL). Before and after the procedure, hemodynamic and respiratory parameters were found to be stable.

Conclusion: Since a combination of oral ketamine and midazolam provides effective sedation and comfort and can be administrated easily, we believe that it can be safely used in radiotherapy procedures on children.
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Introduction

Radiotherapy is a reliable and effective treatment for various malignancies and can be used for both curative and palliative/prophylactic ends [1]. In order to achieve accuracy, reliability and success in the implementation of radiotherapy, it is imperative that patients adapt to the treatment and remain motionless [2].

Using an appropriate approach with older children and in collaboration with their parents, radiotherapy applications can be administered without resorting to anesthesia. However, during radiotherapy applications, the need for anesthesia shows an inverse proportional increase, and the implementation of anesthesia or sedation is required, especially during the treatment of children aged 0–5 [3].

In cases of radiotherapy involving children, aside from physical issues such as positioning limitations required by the procedure and difficulties in reaching the patient, health issues stemming from the patient's primary diagnosis also require special care and preparation. In addition, in certain cases that require repeated radiotherapy, frequent anesthetic procedures may be necessary [4].

In this study, we aimed to determine the efficacy of oral ketamine used during radiotherapy procedures of the cases of 26 children aged 2-10.

Material and Methods

In this study, with the permission of the Ethics Board between September 2007 and September 2010 at Dicle University Faculty of Medicine Radiation Oncology Department Treatment Unit, the records of 26 patients aged 2-10 being treated by external beam radiotherapy were examined. Aside from the patients' demographic data such as age and sex, their primary diagnoses, the doses of anaesthetic agents utilized, procedure times and any complications were recorded.

Before each anaesthesia procedure, the presence of an oxygen source, aspirator, AmbuR (ballon-mask airway) system,
laryngeal mask, laryngoscope, intubation tube, airway and intravenous (IV) line equipment, as well as emergency medicine within the procedure room was checked and verified. For all patients, 5 mg/kg ketamine and 0.5 mg/kg midazolam were prepared in a mixture of sour cherry juice. Atropine was used to prevent adverse effects (such as prevention salivation) with a dose of 0.01 mg/kg. Following 4-6 hours of fasting, the patients were fed the concoction by their parents at 0.5 ml/kg. Once their Ramsay sedation score [5] reached 3 or above, the patients were taken to the radiotherapy unit, IV lines were started, and EKG, SpO2 and non-invasive arterial pressure monitoring were provided. Throughout the procedure, O2 at a rate of 3 L/min was delivered to the patients via nasal cannula.

Once sufficient sedation was achieved, patients at their first RT session underwent measurements for RT and simulation processes to determine the exact locations. When patient motionlessness was achieved, and if the vital parameters (SpO2, BP, BFR values) were within normal limits, the patient was left in the RT room and the RT process started through observation from outside via a camera system. If the procedure time exceeded five minutes or any movement in the extremities was observed, an extra dose of 0.5 mg/kg IV ketamine was delivered.

Afterward, the patients were taken to the recovery room. Patients whose spontaneous respiration was regular and sufficient, whose airway protective reflexes were existent, and whose vital parameters evaluated within normal clinical limits were observed for at least 45 minutes and then discharged.

The sedation levels of the patients from the beginning to the end of the procedure were evaluated every ten minutes using the Ramsay sedation scale (1: Tense, nervous; 2: Calm, relaxed; 3: Responds to aural stimuli; 4: Responds to physical stimuli; 5: Responds to painful stimuli; 6: Does not respond to painful stimuli) until they have gone to their clinics have been evaluated. Patients with sedation score of 3 or higher were administered radiotherapy.

The statistical analyses of the study data were performed by SPSS 16.0 for Windows (SPSS Inc., Chicago, IL, USA). Descriptive statistics of the demographic data and continuous variables were given as mean ± standard deviation and percentages.

Results

The demographic data from the patients evaluated in the study are shown in Table 1. Radiotherapy under anesthesia was implemented in a total of 56 sessions conducted on 26 patients. During the radiotherapy applications only 10 (17%) sessions required the use of an extra dose of ketamine. The radiotherapy session duration was 6.3 ± 2.4 minutes. (The anesthesia start time was 20.5 ± 4.6.) Additionally, the patients’ recovery time from anesthesia was found to be 68.6 ± 6.2 minutes.

Table 1. Demographic data of the patients.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Mean ± SD, n</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Age (years)</td>
<td>4.85 ± 2.97</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Height (cm)</td>
<td>102 ± 17.16</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Weight (kg)</td>
<td>16.8 ± 5.87</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Gender (f/m)</td>
<td>15/11</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ASA I/II</td>
<td>18/8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>The radiotherapy session duration (min)</td>
<td>6.3 ± 2.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>The onset of anesthesia (min)</td>
<td>20.5 ± 4.6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Recovery time</td>
<td>68.6 ± 6.2</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

ASA: American Society of Anesthesiologists risk scores; Value was calculated as mean ± SD; f: female; m: male

The clinical diagnoses of the patients are shown in Table 2. 61.5% of the patients were administered prophylactic cranial radiotherapy due to acute lymphoblastic leukemia (ALL). Before and after the procedure, the patients’ hemodynamic and respiratory parameters were found to be stable (Figure 1). No serious complications were observed in the patients during the procedure.
Figure 1. Hemodynamic and respiratory parameters. HBR: Heart Beating Rate; MAP: Mean Blood Pressure; SpO\textsubscript{2}: pulse oximetric saturation

Table 2. Clinical diagnoses of the patients.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Diagnoses</th>
<th>n (%)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Neurofibromatosis</td>
<td>1 (3.8)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ALL*</td>
<td>16 (61.5)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Neuroblastoma</td>
<td>2 (7.6)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Medulloblastoma</td>
<td>3 (11.5)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Glioblastoma Multiforme</td>
<td>4 (15.4)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*ALL: Acute Lymphoblastic Leukemia; n: number, %: percent

Discussion

The success of modern radiotherapy procedures depends on teamwork. In cases of children whose general condition is poor due to illness, and whose long radiotherapy sessions require a motionless position difficult to maintain, anaesthesiology modalities are used in order to successfully implement radiotherapy [6]. It has been reported that during radiotherapy, some patients are not able to receive the required radiation due to insufficient immobilization [7].

What are expected from an ideal sedation method are reliability, amnesiac properties, and its ability to provide immobility and analgesia. It should not depress respiratory or cardiovascular reflexes while providing these effects. The effects of the administered drugs should start quickly and end shortly, should not lead to delays in recovery, and the dosage should be adjustable depending on the patient’s response [8].

Additionally, in children, the drugs should decrease anxiety and make separation from the child’s family easier [9,10].

Different methods have been tried to achieve this. Chloral hydrate, midazolam and ketamine have been used both orally and rectally [11]. Intravenous sedation (IV) is efficient and reliable in children's radiotherapy procedures. Especially in cases where peripheral or central IV cannulation is ready and available for use, sedation can be started quite quickly using the IV route [12]. However, IV injections are one of the most serious causes of anxiety in hospitalized children. Additionally, IV routes are one of the primary sources of infections that develop in these children due to the disruption of dermal barrier [13].

During radiotherapy, IV propofol is preferred due to its quick onset, short mechanism of action, and its prevention of nausea and vomiting. However, the significant respiratory depression induced by propofol limits its use in anesthesiology procedures outside of the operating room [7]. Midazolam is a short-acting
In external anesthesia procedures, a monitor, oxygen source, and standard anesthesia monitoring should always be at hand, and standard anesthesia monitoring should always be performed. During radiotherapy procedures, the biggest disadvantage for the anesthesiologist is the need to leave the patient’s side. During this period, the anesthesiologist watches the patient from the monitoring room via video cameras. Even though radiotherapy procedures are usually short, being physically separated from the patient is risky in terms of patient safety. Additionally, it is imperative for the patient to remain motionless throughout the procedure to ensure its safety and success. For this reason, the anesthesiologist should apply a level of sedation that will ensure motionlessness without risking patient safety.

In our clinic, we give a combination of oral ketamine and midazolam to all our radiotherapy patients. In 82.2% of our patients, the procedure is successfully completed without any need for additional dosage. We have not encountered any complications such as nausea-vomiting or respiratory depression in any of our patients.

**Conclusions**

Since oral ketamine and midazolam in combination provide effective sedation and comfort and can also be administered easily, we believe that they can be safely used in radiotherapy procedures on children.
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