Markers and metabolites related to inflammation in bronchiectasis with airflow limitation vs. chronic obstructive pulmonary disease.
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Abstract

Chronic obstructive pulmonary disease (COPD) is a systemic progressive inflammatory disease resulting from an abnormal inflammatory response to noxious stimuli. Bronchiectasis is also a chronic inflammatory condition, usually caused by repetitive infections. However, it is not clear whether the inflammatory signaling pathways involved are the same in both diseases. Therefore, we here compared the markers and metabolites related to inflammation in COPD and in bronchiectasis to elucidate the pathological mechanisms. We compared markers and metabolites in patients with COPD and in those with bronchiectasis with airflow limitation. The levels of the following inflammatory biomarkers were assessed: erythrocyte sedimentation rate (ESR) and C-reactive protein (CRP), interleukin (IL)-6, and club cell secretory protein (CC)-16. Additionally, high-resolution metabolomic evaluation was conducted to evaluate the differentially expressed metabolic signature of the two diseases. The ESR and CRP levels were significantly higher, and the levels of CC-16 and IL-6 tended to be higher, among patients with bronchiectasis than among those with COPD. In the metabolomics analysis, serum metabolites of biliverdin IX alpha (m/z: 565.24 [M+H-H2O]+) and L-carnitine (m/z: 184.09 [M+Na]+) were significantly upregulated in sera of bronchiectasis patients. In sera of COPD patients, the levels of nicotine (m/z: 163.12 [M+H]+) and N-acetyl serotonin (m/z: 201.102 [M+H-H2O]+) were significantly higher. Patients with bronchiectasis with airflow limitation had higher levels of inflammatory biomarkers (ESR and CRP) and markers indicating compensation for inflammatory damage (biliverdin IX alpha and L-carnitine), than did patients with COPD. Further treatments modulating the inflammatory process may be useful in patients with bronchiectasis.

Keywords: Biomarkers, Bronchiectasis, Chronic obstructive pulmonary disorder, Club cell secretory protein, Inflammation, Metabolomics.
power of metabolomics to research provides an unparalleled opportunity to decipher the molecular mechanisms and potential signaling pathways that involve the inflammatory factors of disease. This study aimed to investigate the differences in the markers and metabolites related to inflammation in patients with COPD and those having bronchiectasis with airflow limitation.

Materials and Methods

Subjects
Patients with bronchiectasis with airflow limitation as well as patients with COPD were enrolled in this study. These conditions were diagnosed and patients were followed-up at Korea University Guro Hospital between 2015 and 2016. Our institutional review board (#KUGH 13246) approved the study protocol. The patients provided written informed consent, and the study conformed to the tenets of the Declaration of Helsinki. The diagnosis of bronchiectasis with airflow limitation was made according to the following criteria: 1) bronchiectasis affecting two or more lung lobes identified on computed tomography; 2) mucopurulent or purulent sputum in the stable disease state; 3) post-bronchodilator spirometry-defined airflow limitation, with forced expiratory volume in 1 second (FEV1)/forced vital capacity (FVC) <70%, without a history of other airway diseases; 4) no evidence of active tuberculosis or pneumonia; and 5) age over 19 years. COPD was diagnosed on the basis of the American Thoracic Society and Global Initiative for Chronic Obstructive Lung Disease guidelines, i.e., airflow limitation confirmed by postbronchodilator spirometry, with FEV1/FVC <70%, and a history of smoking or biomass exposure. All other causes of respiratory disease that could cause airflow limitation, such as asthma, bronchiectasis, lung cancer, or interstitial lung diseases, were excluded from this study. Patients with COPD-bronchiectasis overlap syndrome were also excluded. Patients in the COPD and bronchiectasis groups were matched for age and predicted FEV1% to adjust for disease severity.

Clinical parameters
We evaluated the following clinical parameters: smoking history (packs per year and smoking status), medical history, pulmonary function test results (spirometry results, lung volume, diffusing capacity of the lung for carbon monoxide [DLCO]), plain chest radiograph, chest computed tomographic image, FEV1 decline rates, number of exacerbations per year, modified Medical Research Council scale scores, St. George Respiratory Questionnaire results, and COPD assessment test scores. FEV1 decline rate was calculated at stable status based on the change in FEV1 from baseline to 1 year after enrollment. Exacerbation was defined as sustained worsening of the patient’s condition from the stable state that was beyond normal day-to-day variations, was acute in onset, and necessitated a change in the regular medication and an unscheduled hospital visit due to worsening of respiratory symptoms.

Serum markers related to inflammation
Blood tests, including CRP level and ESR measurements, were performed routinely on the day of enrollment, in an overnight-fasted and medication-free state. Peripheral whole-venous blood was collected in EDTA tubes and serum was prepared by centrifugation for 10-15 min at 4,500 rpm and stored at -80°C until analysis. IL-6 and CC-16 concentrations were measured using ELISA kits (IL-6: R&D Systems, Oxford, UK; CC-16: BioVendor GmbH, Heidelberg, Germany).

Liquid chromatography-mass spectrometry measurements
Liquid chromatography-mass spectrometry (LC/MS) measurements were performed as previously described [12]. In brief, 50 µl aliquots of serum samples were treated with acetonitrile (1:4, v/v) and 5 µl of a mixture of three stable isotope standards ([3-Methyl-13C]-Caffeine, [Dimethyl-D6]-N,N-Diethyl-M-Toluamide, and [13C5]-15L-Methionine) (1:4, vol/vol). Samples were analyzed in duplicate using ultra-performance liquid chromatography (Agilent Q-TOF 6550, Agilent, Santa Clara, CA, USA) with iFunnel Q-TOF mass spectrometry (Agilent Q-TOF 6550, Agilent). Analytical separation was performed using a Hypersil Gold C-18 (100 × 2.1 mm) 1.9 µm analytical column (Thermo Fisher Scientific, Waltham, MA, USA). The column and autosampler temperatures were maintained at 45°C and 10°C, respectively. Two mobile phases, solvent A (consisting of 0.1% formic acid in water) and solvent B (consisting of 0.1% formic acid in ACN) were used.

Metabolic profiling
Mass-to-charge ratio (m/z) of ions ranging from 50 to 1000 (resolution greater than 20,000 over 15 min LC runs, with data extraction using adaptive processing) were collected as raw spectrometric data. To obtain ion intensities, raw spectrometric data were converted to the mzXML format using two software packages, i.e., MSConvert and apLCMS. Ion intensity, m/z, and retention time are defined as m/z features. Data were further processed with normalization, scaling, and statistical analysis using MetaboAnalyst 3.0. In MetaboAnalyst 3.0, data sets were quantile normalized, log transformed, and pareto scaled to obtain more comparable individual features. Student’s t-test was used to assess the statistical significance of features, with p<0.05 with a false discovery rate (FDR)-adjusted q-value of 0.05. Supervised partial least-squares-discriminant analysis (PLS-DA) was performed using SIMCA 14.1 (Umetrics AB, Umeå, Sweden) using unit variance (UV) scaling, to detect a significant separation shift between the two groups. The metabolites with the first principal component of variable importance in projection (VIP) value>1.5 and p<0.05 were considered important for identifying differentially expressed metabolites among the groups. The relative intensity of selected metabolites with VIP>1.5 and p<0.05 was analyzed using GraphPad Prism v 5.03 (GraphPad Software, La Jolla, CA, USA). More specifically, column analysis with two-tailed (unpaired) t-tests was performed. Data are presented as means
± SEM, and differences with p values < 0.05 were considered statistically significant. P-values were generated with 95% confidence intervals. Box and whiskers plots were generated using Whiskers: Min to Max plot.

**Statistical analysis**

Clinical data were presented as median and interquartile range for continuous variables and as a percentage (number) for categorical variables. Data were compared using the Mann-Whitney U-test for continuous variables, and Pearson’s χ² test or Fisher’s exact test for categorical variables. Statistical significance was defined as P<0.05. Data were analyzed using SPSS 20.0 software (IBM, Chicago, Illinois, USA).

**Results**

**Baseline characteristics of patients with bronchiectasis and COPD**

Thirty-four participants were enrolled in the study (COPD, n=17; bronchiectasis, n=17). Baseline characteristics are described in Table 1. Over 90% of patients with COPD were male. In contrast, only 23.5% of patients with bronchiectasis were male. Patients with bronchiectasis had no smoking history, while patients with COPD had a smoking history of 40.0 (25.0-52.8) packs per year. There were no significant differences in comorbidities between the groups. Although the FEV1 was similar between groups, the FVC, total lung capacity (TLC), and vital capacity (VC) were significantly lower in patients with bronchiectasis than in patients with COPD. The DLCO/alveolar volume (VA) was significantly higher in patients with bronchiectasis than in patients with COPD. Most patients in both groups were classified as GOLD stage B (COPD=58.8%; bronchiectasis=52.9%).

**Table 1. Comparison of characteristics between patients with chronic obstructive pulmonary disease and bronchiectasis.**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>COPD (N = 17)</th>
<th>Bronchiectasis (N = 17)</th>
<th>p value</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Age (years)</td>
<td>72.00 (63.50-76.50)</td>
<td>64.00 (56.00-74.00)</td>
<td>0.140</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Male (%)</td>
<td>94.1% (16/17)</td>
<td>23.5% (4/17)</td>
<td>&lt;0.001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>BMI</td>
<td>22.68 (20.70-24.06)</td>
<td>23.60 (20.80-25.86)</td>
<td>0.375</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Smoking status</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Former</td>
<td>58.8% (10/17)</td>
<td>0% (0/17)</td>
<td>&lt;0.001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Current</td>
<td>41.2% (7/17)</td>
<td>0% (0/17)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Packs/year</td>
<td>40.00 (25.00-52.75)</td>
<td>0.00 (0.00-0.00)</td>
<td>&lt;0.001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Comorbidities</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CVD</td>
<td>5.9% (1/17)</td>
<td>5.9% (1/17)</td>
<td>0.310</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Heart disease</td>
<td>52.9% (9/17)</td>
<td>35.3% (6/17)</td>
<td>0.208</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pulmonary function tests</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>FEV1 L</td>
<td>1.62 (1.06-2.35)</td>
<td>1.31 (1.02-1.58)</td>
<td>0.099</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Figure 1. Comparison of serum markers related to inflammation in patients with chronic obstructive pulmonary disease (COPD) and bronchiectasis.**

A) Comparison of C-reactive protein (CRP) in patients with COPD and bronchiectasis; B) Comparison of erythrocyte sedimentation rate (ESR) in patients with COPD and bronchiectasis; C) Comparison of interleukin-6 (IL-6) in patients with COPD and bronchiectasis; D) Comparison of club cell secretory protein-16 (CC-16) in patients with COPD and bronchiectasis.

Patient-reported scores of symptoms and quality of life were similar in both groups. There were no significant differences between groups in the FEV1 decline rates or in the number of exacerbations per year.
Comparison of serum markers related to inflammation

CRP levels were significantly higher in patients with bronchiectasis (3.68 [2.06-6.81] mg/dl) than in patients with COPD (0.99 [0.78-2.80] mg/dl; P=0.009; Figure 1A). The ESRs were significantly higher in patients with bronchiectasis 42.00 (22.00-67.95) mm/h than in patients with COPD (9.00 [25.50-14.50] mm/h; P<0.001; Figure 1B). IL-16 levels were not significantly different between the two groups, but tended to be higher in the bronchiectasis group than in the COPD group (P=0.306, Figure 1C). Similarly, CC-16 levels tended to be higher in bronchiectasis patients compared to the COPD patients (P=0.057, Figure 1D).

In addition, the PLS-DA score plot significantly separated COPD patients’ sera from bronchiectasis patients’ sera (Figure 2B, R^2=0.995), indicating that the serum metabolomes of the two diseases were significantly different. Furthermore, HCA using significant features obtained from the Manhattan plot showed a trend for separation between the two groups (Figure 2C). These results indicated that the serum metabolome differs significantly between the COPD and bronchiectasis groups.

Differential serum metabolic profiles between COPD and bronchiectasis patients

The raw LC-MS data were converted into the mzData format using Agilent MassHunter Qualitative Analysis software (version B.05.00; Agilent Technologies) and subsequently processed with apLCMS software using the Limma R package for quantification of ion intensities. apLCMS provided 7,481 m/z values within a range of ions set from 50 to 1000 with 20,000 resolutions. The apLCMS features produced triplicate data, which were averaged, log2 transformed, and normalized using z-transformation. To reduce the incidence of false-positives, the FDR was calculated and a Manhattan plot was generated to identify metabolites with levels that were significantly different between COPD and bronchiectasis patients. PLS-DA was then performed on the raw apLCMS data to detect a significant separation shift between comparison groups, after which hierarchical cluster analysis (HCA) was used to separate the metabolic profiles of the comparison groups by inserting raw data into MetaboAnalyst 3.0. Among 7,481 tested serum metabolites, 640 were significantly different between the COPD and bronchiectasis patient groups (q=0.05) (Figure 2A).

Metabolic signatures of COPD and bronchiectasis

The significant features obtained from the Manhattan plot (FDR adjusted P<0.05) were further annotated by their m/z values using xMSannotator in order to identify the metabolites responsible for the metabolic distinctions between the groups. The following positive adducts were used [M+H]+, [M+Na]+, [M+NH4]+, [M+H2O]+, and [M+K]+. Based on a q value <0.05 and a VIP score >2.0, compounds related to upregulation of IL-6 and CC-16 were identified in bronchiectasis and their intensity bar graphs plotted. As shown in Figures 3A and 3B, biliverdin IX alpha (m/z: 565.24 [M +H-H2O]+) in patients with COPD and bronchiectasis; B) L-carnitine (m/z: 184.09 [M+Na]+) in patients with COPD and bronchiectasis; C) Nicotine (m/z: 163.12 [M+H]+) in patients with COPD and bronchiectasis; D) N-acetyl serotonin (m/z: 201.102 [M +H-H2O]+) in patients with COPD and bronchiectasis.

Discussion

In the present study, we found that patients with bronchiectasis demonstrated higher levels of both systemic inflammatory...
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Biomarkers (ESR and CRP) and markers that indicate compensation for inflammatory damage (biliverdin IX alpha and L-carnitine) than did patients with COPD, which emphasizes the need to control inflammation in patients with bronchiectasis. In previous studies, patients with stable bronchiectasis had elevated levels of systemic markers of inflammation, as compared to normal control groups; some of these markers correlated with disease severity [13,14]. High levels of markers related to inflammation, similar patient-related outcomes, and comparable lung function decline rates between patients with bronchiectasis and COPD suggest that bronchiectasis, like COPD, is a dynamic, systemic inflammatory disease.

Despite higher levels of inflammatory biomarkers (ESR and CRP), CC-16, an inflammation-responsive marker also tended to be higher in bronchiectasis patients than in COPD patients. Club cell secretory proteins are involved in airway repair after injury and detoxification of harmful substances by secreting anti-inflammatory proteins [15,16]. The serum level of CC-16 is reported to be lower in smokers and subjects with asthma and COPD [17-19]. However, the role of CC-16 in bronchiectasis has not been elucidated. As a consequence of the destruction of club cells, the serum concentration of CC-16 is decreased in subjects with chronic lung damage caused by tobacco smoke and other air pollutants [8,16,20]. In contrast, serum CC-16 levels are increased in patients with acute and chronic lung disorders characterized by an increased airway permeability [20,21]. In patients with bronchiectasis, persistent active airway inflammation caused by recurrent infections leads to angiogenesis and increased vascularity of the Airways [22,23]. It is likely that serum CC-16 is increased in patients with bronchiectasis to compensate for inflammatory damage.

One of the important metabolomics-related findings in this study was that Biliverdin IX alpha level was significantly increased in patients with bronchiectasis. Biliverdin is a potent anti-inflammatory molecule. Biliverdin reductase A on the plasma membrane of macrophages rapidly converts biliverdin to bilirubin in response to inflammatory conditions. Previously, biliverdin has been shown to suppress lung permeability and lung alveolitis by suppressing serum levels of lipopolysaccharide-induced pro-inflammatory tumor necrosis factor (TNF)-α and IL-6 [24,25]. Contrary to these studies, our data showed a trend for an increase in IL-6 levels as well as biliverdin production in bronchiectasis patients. These discrepancies may be because biliverdin is elevated as a feedback response to compensate for inflammatory damage caused by IL-6. Similarly, L-carnitine, which has previously been shown to reduce the levels of pro-inflammatory cytokines, such as TNF-α, IL-1β, and IL-6 [26,27], could have been elevated in bronchiectasis patients to compensate for the levels of inflammatory cytokines. There is mounting evidence that lifelong chronic smokers have a 50% probability of developing COPD during their lifetime [28]. In accordance with a chronic smoking history [29], nicotine levels were elevated in COPD patients. Additionally, the levels of N-acetyl serotonin, a potent antioxidant [30], may have been elevated to minimize the excessive oxidative stress caused by cigarette smoke in COPD patients [31]. This may partly explain why specific anti-inflammatory metabolites can be induced by strong inflammatory responses differently in patients who have either COPD or bronchiectasis.

In our study, we found that both inflammatory and anti-inflammatory biomarkers were higher in patients with bronchiectasis than in patients with COPD. This suggests that inflammation caused by COPD is related to a reduced protective response due to the destruction of cells that would protect against this. In contrast, inflammation caused by bronchiectasis is related to activated anti-inflammatory processes that are caused by repetitive infections. Modulation of inflammation may improve disease in both diseases. In patients with bronchiectasis, reducing the inflammatory process should be the priority; in patients with COPD, the use of recombinant CC-16 should be investigated [8,32]. Moreover, we suggest that bronchiectasis should be treated with anti-inflammatory agents and bronchodilators, which are currently used for COPD treatment. Proper control of inflammation and treatment of repeated infections may improve the outcomes of patients with bronchiectasis [33,34].

These results must be considered in the context of the study limitations. First, although some of our results showed a trend, they were not statistically significant, which could potentially be explained by the small sample size. Moreover, the small sample size of both groups further limited the possibility of adjusting for confounders, making it difficult to generalize our results. Second, comparison of markers of inflammation in a healthy control group was not possible. However, it is well known that patients with stable COPD have higher levels of inflammatory markers than control individuals [35,36]. Thus, it could be inferred that patients with bronchiectasis have higher levels of inflammatory markers than control groups. Third, we did not evaluate the characteristics and biomarkers of bronchiectasis without airflow obstruction. However, we selected age and FEV1% predicted-matched patients with COPD as a control group to compare and evaluate the role of inflammation in the two diseases, regardless of the presence or the severity of an airflow obstruction.

Despite these limitations, a strength of this study is that it compared inflammatory markers, including metabolites, between patients with bronchiectasis that exhibit a limitation in airflow and patients with COPD, which has not been reported previously. Further studies with a larger sample size should be performed to validate the results of this study.

Conclusion

Patients who have bronchiectasis with airflow limitation have higher levels of both systemic inflammatory biomarkers (ESR and CRP) and markers indicating compensation for inflammatory damage (biliverdin IX alpha and L-carnitine). Modulating the inflammatory process in patients with bronchiectasis could improve health outcomes.
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