Incorporation of amorphous calcium phosphate (ACP) into glass ionomer cement: influence on microleakage of cemented orthodontic bands.
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Abstract

Aim: With regard to the anticariogenic and remineralizing properties of ACP, the aim of this study was to compare the microleakage of conventional glass ionomer and ACP containing glass ionomer cements under orthodontic bands.

Materials and methods: Sixty extracted first maxillary premolars were randomly divided into 2 groups. In the group A, the teeth were cemented by conventional glass ionomer cement and in the group B they were cemented by ACP containing glass ionomer cement. Then samples subjected to thermocycling. A dye penetration method was used for microleakage evaluation. Microleakage was determined with a stereomicroscope for the cement-band and cement-enamel interfaces from the buccal and lingual sides at the occlusal and gingival margins.

Results: Post hoc comparisons showed amount of microleakage in the control group was significantly higher than experimental group in cement-enamel interface at bucco-gingival level (p-value=0.01). No significant difference between control and experimental groups was observed in other areas.

Conclusion: ACP containing glass ionomer causes less microleakage than conventional glass ionomer in enamel-cement interface. However there was no significant difference in microleakage between ACP containing GI and conventional GI in most of area. Therefore ACP-containing GI can be used in orthodontic banding.
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white spot lesions during fixed orthodontic treatment. In this way, the main concern is ACP may have negative effects on other properties of GIC cement such as mechanical and microleakage properties. In this research, effect of the incorporation of ACP on microleakage of cemented orthodontic bands was investigated. The null hypothesis was that modification of GIC with ACP has no effects on microleakage under cemented bands.

Materials and Methods

In this study, sixty extracted first maxillary premolars were randomly divided into 2 groups. In the group A, the teeth were cemented by luting and lining glass ionomer cement and in the group B they were cemented by ACP containing luting and lining glass ionomer cement. Then specimens subjected to thermocycling. Microleakage under cemented bands was evaluated by dye penetration method. For synthesis of ACP, Calcium nitrate (36.15 g; 98%, Merck PROLABO) was dissolved in distilled water. Diammonium hydrogen phosphate was slightly added to the solution and was quickly mixed. Then the suspension was filtered and washed with deionized water containing 15 ml of 28% wt% ammonia. After the aging process at room temperature, the remaining sediment was dried in a freeze-drier (Alpha 1-2 LD, Germany). Then the ACP powder was kept in a freezer. The amorphous state of ACP powder was evaluated by XRD test. XRD patterns proved the amorphous phase of ACP.

On the other hand, sixty extracted human first maxillary premolars with no caries and no anomalies were used. These teeth were extracted from patients under 30 years of age due to orthodontic purposes. For decontamination purpose samples were restored in 0.5% w/v chloramine for one month. Then they were immersed in distilled water in a sealed container at room temperature until testing. All teeth were cleaned with pumice slurry, washed in distilled water and dried in a stream of moisture-free air. Standard stainless steel first maxillary premolars bands (Dentaurum, Pforzheim, Germany) were selected and adapted optimally to the crown of each tooth using a stainless steel band seater. The teeth were randomly divided into 2 groups (30 specimens) according to the cement used.

In group A, bands were cemented by luting and lining Glass Ionomer (GI) (GC Corporation, Gold label, Tokyo, Japan). The cement was prepared according to the manufacturers' instructions and then was loaded into the orthodontic band and accurately fitted on the crowns. All samples stored in distilled water and incubated at 37°C for 48 hours. Then samples were subjected to 5000 thermal cycles in water baths (5 ± 2°C and 55 ± 2°C, dwell time 30 s). In group B, bands were cemented by 1.56% of Synthesized ACP powder incorporated into glass ionomer. Then all of the procedures were performed similar to group A. Dye penetration was chosen as the method of assessment of microleakage. For evaluation of dye penetration in both groups, the apices of each sample were sealed by sticky wax and then 2 layer of nail varnish applied on entire surface of tooth except approximately 1mm from band margins(Occlusal and gingival) (Figure 1).

Results

Data was analyzed using non-parametric tests. As can be seen in Table 1 Mann-Whitney test revealed mean microleakage of control group (Group A) was significantly higher than ACP-containing GIC (Group B) in buccal/gingival/ement-enamel interface (P=0.001). In other regions no significant difference among groups was reported. In control group, Wilcoxon Singled Ranks tests showed that the data distribution was not normal, non-parametric tests (Mann-Whitney and Wilcoxon Singled Ranks tests) were used. Statistical significance was set at P<0.05.
interface (P=0.004). There was no significant difference between buccal and palatal surfaces in other level and interfaces (P<0.05). Furthermore microleakage of cement-enamel interface was significantly higher than band-cement interface in all surfaces and levels (P<0.05). In ACP-containing GIC group, Wilcox on Singled Ranks showed no significant differences between amount of microleakage in buccal/palatal surface and occlusal and gingival level (P>0.05). But cement-enamel interface was dominant region than band-cement interface as microleakage in all surfaces and levels (P<0.05).

Table 1. Comparison of the microleakage scores between two groups A&B.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Interface</th>
<th>Group</th>
<th>N</th>
<th>Mean Rank</th>
<th>P-Value</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Buccal/Occlusal/cement-enamel</td>
<td>A</td>
<td>26</td>
<td>26.48</td>
<td>0.467</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>B</td>
<td>29</td>
<td>29.36</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Buccal/Occlusal/cement-band</td>
<td>A</td>
<td>26</td>
<td>28.56</td>
<td>0.291</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>B</td>
<td>29</td>
<td>27.5</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Buccal/Gingival/cement-enamel</td>
<td>A</td>
<td>25</td>
<td>35.1</td>
<td>0.001*</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>B</td>
<td>29</td>
<td>20.95</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Buccal/Gingival/cement-band</td>
<td>A</td>
<td>25</td>
<td>28.68</td>
<td>0.062</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>B</td>
<td>28</td>
<td>25.5</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Palatal/Occlusal/cement-enamel</td>
<td>A</td>
<td>29</td>
<td>28.98</td>
<td>0.0809</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>B</td>
<td>29</td>
<td>30.02</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Palatal/Occlusal/cement-band</td>
<td>A</td>
<td>29</td>
<td>30.03</td>
<td>0.961</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>B</td>
<td>30</td>
<td>29.97</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Palatal/Gingival/cement-enamel</td>
<td>A</td>
<td>29</td>
<td>29.59</td>
<td>0.771</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>B</td>
<td>28</td>
<td>28.39</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Palatal/Gingival/cement-band</td>
<td>A</td>
<td>29</td>
<td>29</td>
<td>0.557</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>B</td>
<td>29</td>
<td>30</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*P<0.05 was significant

Discussion

Prevention of white spot lesions and demineralization of enamel during fixed orthodontics is an important issue in orthodontic treatment. In this way, modification of GI with remineralizer materials such as ACP is a new strategy to overcome this problem. But we should improve our knowledge about the effect of adding these materials on the mechanical properties of GIC and its clinical use. In our research, the effect of incorporation of ACP into GI on its microleakage in cementation of orthodontic band was performed. Dye penetration was used to measure amount of microleakage. This method is simple, relatively cheap and quantitative [15]. Results of this study showed that the amount of microleakage in buccal/gingival/cement-enamel interface in the GIC group (control group) was significantly higher than ACP-containing GIC. We observed greater microleakage in both groups at cement-enamel interface rather than cement-band interface. Leakage in cement-enamel interface can be important because it leads to demineralization and decay of enamel. With respect to our results, control group has higher microleakage than ACP containing glass ionomer cement in cement-enamel interface.

Furthermore, low self-cleansing in the gingival margin of bands causes to plaque accumulation and therefore modification of GI with ACP can overcome enamel demineralization in this region. Enan et al. [16] evaluated the effect of the addition of nano-hydroxyapatite (0%, 5%, 10%, 15% by weight) on microleakage of glass-ionomer cement under orthodontic bands. They observed that bands cemented with conventional GIC showed the highest microleakage scores in comparison to those cemented with nano-HA-modified GIC. They also found that teeth banded with 15% modified GIC had lower microleakage scores. Gillgrass et al. [17] investigated microleakage pattern of a conventional glass ionomer cement (Ketac-Cem) and an acid-modified composite (Ultra Band-Lok) for band cementation. Their results showed no significant difference between the two cement groups for microleakage at the cement/enamel interface but a borderline significance was found for microleakage at the cement/band interface. Results of Uysal et al. [18] showed that conventional GIC had more microleakage than RMGIC and modified composite at both the cement-band and cement-enamel interfaces.

Conclusions

With regard to less microleakage in the risky region -buccal/gingival/cement-enamel interface and anticariogenicity potential of ACP, it is recommended that to use ACP-containing GIC cement for banding in orthodontics.
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