Identification of breed-specific SNP panel in nine different cattle genomes.

Harshit Kumar¹, Manjit Panigrahi²*, Supriya Chhotaray¹, Dhan Pal¹, V. Bhanuprakash¹, K. A. Saravanan¹, Rahul Shandilya¹, Subhashree Parida², Bharat Bhushan¹

¹Division of Animal Genetics, ICAR-Indian Veterinary Research Institute, Izatnagar, Bareilly, UP, India
²Division of Pharmacology & Toxicology, ICAR-Indian Veterinary Research Institute, Izatnagar, Bareilly, UP, India

Abstract

Single nucleotide polymorphism (SNP) high-density chips are now serving as important bioinformatics tools for improvement and development of various livestock species. Major constraint being the high cost of protocol which is not feasible at the population level. Hence, in the present study, we have tried to reduce the SNP panel to a fewer number of informative markers which will be very much cost-effective. The 50K Illumina BeadChip genotypic data obtained from online Dryad repository for six indigenous cattle breeds, namely Tharparkar, Hariana, Red Sindhi, Sahiwal, Gir and Kankrej were merged with three exotic breeds mainly used in Indian condition, i.e., Holstein-Friesian, Jersey and Brown Swiss. Various quality parameters (MAF-0.36, hwe-0.001, geno-0.95) and statistical operations (\(F_{ST}\), LD values) were applied by different bioinformatics tools. Later, best possible SNPs with an average \(F_{ST}\) value of >0.8 were analysed using STRUCTURE 2.3.4 software and we have found perfect clustering among the nine breeds comprising a total of 536 SNPs referring to 158 individuals from nine breeds. Later, breed-specific SNPs were filtered from the set of 536 SNPs using Venny 2.1.0 software.
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Introduction

Indigenous cattle breeds are well adapted to our agro-climatic conditions and are resistant to many tropical diseases. It can survive and produce milk on poor feed and fodder resources. Some of these breeds are well established for their high milk and fat production. However, the production potential of these animals has deteriorated over a period due to lack of selection [1]. The high producing exotic breeds do not have the above characteristics and are very difficult to manage in the tropical Indian scenario. Hence, indigenous cattle breeds should be improved and conserved at their breeding tracts.

One approach is to identify the purebred animals, with the advent of high-density genotyping of blood samples and rapid availability of Bovine50K and HD SNP data. Bovine SNP high-density chips are useful but the cost of operations would be much higher. Hence, there is a need for a cost-effective protocol which is possible by identifying the small number of highly informative SNPs [2]. Several studies have shown the implications of SNPs in differentiating breeds of individuals in the population and also assigning an individual to its population of origin [3-5]. Further, the protocols to filter and select highly informative markers which makes differentiation at the breed level and assigning of individuals to its specific breeds have been described in several reports [3,6-8].

Breed-specific SNPs were identified using Reynolds \(F_{ST}\) and extended Lewontin and Krakauer's (FLK) statistics by Zwane and his co-workers among three South African indigenous breeds after filtering them at 0.05 MAF [9]. Recently, the genetic diversity among three indigenous dairy cattle breeds of India, viz., Sahiwal, Tharparkar, and Gir were analysed based on BovineHD SNP data. Fifty percent of the SNPs of this assortment were found to be informative for genetic analysis of these cattle. The common SNPs with MAF ranging from 0.1 to 0.5 were approximately 50% and 34% for BovineHD and 54K Chips, respectively [10]. In another report, only SNPs in Hardy-Weinberg equilibrium, displaying the highest Minor Allele Frequency across all the thirty populations of French sheep breed (not associated with Mendelian errors in verified family trios) were selected. A panel of 249 SNPs was successfully used in an on-farm test in the BMC breed (Blanche du Massif Central) sheep and resulted in more than 95% of lambs being assigned to a unique sire [11]. Therefore, multiple level filtrations of SNPs has been attempted to cut the number of SNPs at various levels. Yousefi et al. [12] obtained various subsets \(via\) routine filtering of markers by taking into consideration the minor allele frequency, genotype call rate, missing rate of individuals to produce high-quality subsets from crude SNPs. The data were further exposed to restrictive filtering with significant levels of Hardy-Weinberg equilibrium and linkage disequilibrium (LD) into consideration to obtain SNP panel of 50 markers for individual assignment.
Hence, in the current study, we attempted a different approach to reduce the number of SNPs from Bovine50K chip data of nine breeds of cattle, i.e., six indigenous cattle along with three exotic breeds (commonly used in India), available online at Dryad repository. The reduced SNP panel will be helpful to identify individuals of a particular breed in a cost-effective manner and further to augment various breeding strategies for improvement of indigenous cattle breeds in India.

**Material and Methods**

**Preparation of preliminary dataset**

To prepare the dataset we obtained the genotypic data from four high yielding indigenous milch cattle breeds, i.e., Tharparkar (12), Red Sindhi (10), Sahiwal (17) and Gir (24) with two dual-purpose breeds Hariana (10) and Kankrej (10) from Dryad repository (13) data for ped.map files accessed via WIDDE (Web-Interfaced next generation database for genetic diversity exploration). Three exotic cattle breeds had been extensively used in India in the past six decades for cross-breeding programmes. Hence, in the present study we have also taken three exotic breed’s genotypic data, i.e., Holstein Friesian (30), Jersey (21) and Brown Swiss (24) along with the above-said files. Finally, a nine datasets comprising a total of 158 individuals were obtained and subjected to further quality control parameters. All the animals obtained from online repository were genotyped using Illumina BovineSNP50v2 BeadChip [13].

**Quality control, filtering and selection of SNPs**

Genotype and major/minor allele frequencies were then calculated using PLINK [14]. Minor allele frequency was calculated based on the frequency of the least common allele for every SNP in the given population [12]. We carried out filtering of SNPs within individual breed files as per following criteria, i.e., (a) SNPs with genotype calling rate less than 95%, (b) SNPs with more than three genotype and more than two alleles, (c) SNPs with minor allele frequency less than 0.36. Afterwards, each dataset was subjected to Hardy-Weinberg equilibrium filter at 0.001 statistic followed by pruning SNPs with pairwise LD. The LD has been defined as the non-random relationship between alleles at diverse loci within a population. It was performed by taking a window of 50 SNPs and removing a pair which have calculated value of LD greater than 0.01 ($r^2$). Later, the window was shifted by five SNPs forward and repeating the procedure for all the nine datasets, which generated pruned subset of SNPs which were in approximate linkage equilibrium with each other based on pairwise genotypic correlation.

**LD based reduction of SNPs**

All the LD pruned nine datasets were further merged using PLINK software. To obtain the final panel, SNPs were subjected to pruning again based on pairwise genotypic correlation method taking similar parameters as discussed above. The final dataset was subjected to genetic analysis using STRUCTURE software. In STRUCTURE, data were subjected to 20,000 burn-in and 30,000 MCMC runs for all the 10 iterations. Further, $F_{ST}$ values were inferred from STRUCTURE analysis to designate the SNPs as informative [9]. A higher $F_{ST}$ value for any SNP suggest that a high level of variation for that SNP has occurred in members of the subpopulation equated to the total population, and thus members of the subpopulation incline to carry distinctive informative alleles compared to the total population [15].

**Result and Discussion**

An SNP was declared to be breed-specific when it possessed an allele that was present in only one breed [7]. Numerous studies have proved the usefulness of SNP data for identifying breed informative SNPs for genetic discrimination of breeds [3,6]. After applying the above mentioned quality parameters, i.e., MAF, Hardy-Weinberg equilibrium, genotype call rate and LD pairwise pruning we obtained nine sets comprising of a total of 1324 informative SNPs (Table 1). After merging the nine dataset, the final dataset was further pruned again via pairwise LD ($r^2=0.01$), to obtain a set of 536 SNPs. Hence, to prune breed-specific SNPs in our effort, 1324 markers (nine SNP lists) were taken and compared with the final list of 536 SNPs using VENNY 2.1.0. The SNPs for specific breed were obtained which were not present in other breeds. Such list of breed-specific SNPs was extracted one by one for all the breeds. We obtained a total of 470 breed-specific SNPs excluding 66 SNPs which were in common in one or the other breed (Table 2). As shown in Tables 1 and 2 we were able to reduce SNP marker set of 53,074 from Bovine50 BeadChip to 470 SNPs in our trial. Yousefi et al. could also reduce panel to 50 SNPs using similar quality parameters for human DNA/RNA identification [12].

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Cattle breed</th>
<th>No. of SNPs</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Tharparkar</td>
<td>100</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sahiwal</td>
<td>88</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Red Sindhi</td>
<td>120</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Gir</td>
<td>128</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hariana</td>
<td>92</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Kankrej</td>
<td>132</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Holstein Friesian</td>
<td>254</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Jersey</td>
<td>227</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Brown Swiss</td>
<td>183</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Table 2.** Breeds specific SNPs obtained using VENNY 2.1.0.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Cattle breed</th>
<th>No. of SNPs</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Tharparkar</td>
<td>43</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Structure analysis (K=9) was performed to evaluate the genetic structure and affinities among the nine populations included in our study. Figure 1 illustrates the clustering of the different breeds, showing the perfect discrimination of six indigenous cattle breeds (Tharparkar, Hariana, Red Sindhi, Sahiwal, Gir and Kankrej) and three exotic breeds (Holstein Friesian, Jersey and Brown Swiss). The cluster of the nine breeds showed that the observed pattern of clustering separated these populations based on their genotyping platforms, i.e., Bovine SNP50. These structure results, as expected, show that there is perfect discrimination of nine breeds based on our reduced SNP panel of 536 SNPs. Makina et al. performed similar genetic differentiation among six South African cattle breeds using structure analysis [16].

The informative SNPs having high weights $F_{ST}$ values may further be identified on different chromosomes. In the present study we obtained an average of $F_{ST}$ values above 0.8. In addition, we would also like to mention that all previous studies and data analysed for finding informative SNPs widely used bi-allelic data, but still many reports are available for tri-allelic markers being highly informative. Many human identification panels have been developed based on tri-allelic SNPs [17] as tri-allelic SNPs have more discriminatory power [18]. Recently, 8 tri-allelic SNPs were introduced in panel for biogeographical ancestry identification among Chinese Han population [19]. Hence, we further suggest in future introducing informative tri-allelic SNPs studies may bring even better and precise determination of breed purity.

The analyses performed in our study were conducted to identify breed informative markers panel for use in discriminating indigenous cattle breeds by using the BovineSNP50 data [13]. Although the Bovine SNP50 assays were designed to contain variants that were common to taurine breeds, the authors concluded the usefulness of established methodology in identifying informative SNPs to discriminate different indigenous cattle breeds.
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