Effective enrichment of urinary exosomes by polyethylene glycol for RNA detection.
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Abstract
Urinary exosome is a kind of extracellular vesicles secreted by the cells of kidney and urinary tract. It is an ideal non-invasive biomarker for obtaining information about the state of renal cells. There are few exosomes in urine which are affected by many factors. Enriching exosomes in urine with inexpensive and efficient method is a major challenge. Because exosomes are virus-sized minuscule membrane vesicles or sacs and people used to enrich virus by Polyethylene Glycol (PEG), here we use PEG to enrich the exosomes in urine of 17 volunteers. To confirm the harvest of exosomes, the morphology of exosomes were observed by Transmission Electron Microscopy (TEM), the common exosomal protein markers (CD63, CD9, tumor susceptibility gene 101, Flotillin-1 and β-actin) were detected by Western blot, and the size distribution was analysed by Malvin Nano-ZS. Next, the exosomal housekeeping gene of miRNA (SnRNA-U6) and mRNA (β-actin) were tested by qRT-PCR to prove that this method could be used in subsequent experiments.
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Introduction
Exosomes are extracellular vesicles in a proposed diameter of 30-130 nm, similar to virus in size [1-4] that contain various molecules including proteins, lipids and multiple nucleic acids, such as messenger RNA (mRNA) and micro RNA (miRNAs) [5,6], which can be delivered to another cell, and can be functional in the new location [7-9]. Exosomes contain molecular signatures of their progenitor cells and are present in diverse biological fluids including urine [10-12]. Urinary exosomes are mostly from urogenital tract and kidney, they are noninvasive and readily available vesicles and become one of the new fields of biomarker research [13]. Urine is the waste excretion liquid, and liable to be contaminated, easy to be affected by internal and external environment, thus, an inexpensive, efficient and less time-consuming method to enrich exosomes in urine become a major challenge. Currently, the growing attention paid to exploring newer techniques and standardized protocols has been developed, standardized methods or protocols are still lacking. Differential centrifugation is the most commonly used urinary exosomal enrichment procedure [14-16], when combined with sucrose density gradients, exosomes with high puritency can be produced [17]. However, specialized equipment and lengthy protocols are indispensable, its application in the clinical setting is restricted. A variety of commercial precipitation reagents like ExoQuick™ from Systems Biosciences or Total Exosome Isolation™ from Life Technologies are used [18,19]. They are suitable for most laboratories and easy to be utility, however, they are costly, especially if a large number of biological samples need to be processed. Besides that, various techniques including filtration [20-22], microfluidic-based method [23,24], immuno-affinity and peptide-based isolation [25], size-exclusion chromatography [26-28], and so on, have also been proposed for enrichment of exosomes. They have advantages and disadvantages, can be selected according to the experimental command. Polyethylene Glycol (PEG) is a kind of precipitating reagents, which is used to harvest virus particles. Because of the similar dimension of exosomes, some researchers use PEG to enrich successfully exosomes in cell culture medium [29,30]. The urine is a complex mix solution, and easy to be contaminated. We suppose appropriate PEG can also enrich exosomes in urine. Hereupon we present an effective and fast approach for enrich exosomes from urinary supernatant by simply adding PEG solution. Dozens or hundreds of exosomes could be gathered and easily precipitated by low-speed centrifugation. Finally, we evaluated the practical utility of this method by testing housekeeping gene of mRNA (β-actin) and miRNA (SnRNA-U6). Overall, we explored a rapid, inexpensive, and adaptable way gathering amounts of exosomes to searching for its role for RNA detection.

Materials and Methods

Research subjects
All the studies were approved by the ethics committee of the Affiliated Hospital of Chengdu University of Traditional Chinese Medicine in accordance with relevant guidelines and
regulations. All the volunteers were informed of the experimental study and signed the informed consent form. Seventeen healthy volunteers were enrolled in the study. They were between 22 to 74 (average $55 \pm 16.00$ y old). Urine routine tests were collected and the results showed: PH value $5.0-7.0$ (average $6.33 \pm 0.29$), conductivity $5.6-29.1$ (average $15.1 \pm 3.30$ ms/cm), urine specific gravity $1.010-1.030$ (average $1.013 \pm 0.003$, Table 1).

Sample collection

20 ml of first morning spot urines were collected from 17 healthy volunteers. Urine samples were centrifuged at 3,000X g for 10 min at 4°C to remove cell debris. The supernatant were gathered and protease inhibitor mixture $(0.668 \text{ ml} 100 \text{ mM NaNO}_3, 0.1 \text{ ml} 100 \text{ mM PMSF and } 20 \mu \text{ l} 1 \text{ mg/ml leupeptin})$ were added, and the clarified urine were stored at -80°C as the spares.

Exosome isolation and enrichment

Urine samples stored at -80°C were taken out and unfreezed at room temperature, an extra vorticity for 30 s was executed. Exosomes in urine were precipitated with 1/2 volume of PEG mother liquor (24% PEG+1 M sodium chloride). The mixture was reversed repeatedly for mixing sufficiently and incubated overnight at 4°C followed by centrifugation at 4,000X g for 1 h at 4°C. We reversed the centrifuge tube and thoroughly cleaned the supernatant with caution. The remained sedimentation at the bottom of the tube was stored at -80°C. Exosome pellets were resuspended in Phosphate Buffered Saline (PBS) before use.

Electron microscopy of exosome samples

10 µl of PBS solution containing exosomes was deposited on copper grid, 10 µl of uranyl acetate was dropped to negatively stain exosomes. The samples were observed by Hitachi electron microscope H-600 (Japan) operated at 80 kV. An Olympus Quemesa Camera was used to record images.

Characterization of exosome and Western blotting analysis

The suspended exosomes were mixed with equal volume of 2X SDS-PAGE protein sample buffer. After a full mixture, samples was added with 1X SDS-PAGE protein buffer to 200 µl, vortexed for 15 s, and denatured in full temperature metal bath at 100°C for 10 min.

10 µl of the prepared loading samples were loaded on the 10% SDS-PAGE gel with extra-long pipet. The gel was run for 40 min at 90 V, then for 60 min at 120 V. The gel was dyed by Bio-Safe™ Coomassie G-250 for 30 min and destained by 20% glacial acetic acid on a rocking plate.

Another gel was used for western blotting analysis, in which the exosomal proteins were separated by 10% SDS-PAGE. After the proteins were transferred onto Polyvinylidene Fluoride (PVDF) membranes (Bio-Rad), the PVDF were blocked in Tris-buffered saline containing 5% albumin bovine V, and incubated with the specific antibodies to exosomal antibodies including CD63 (Abcam, ab8219), CD9 (Abcam, ab92726), tumor susceptibility gene 101 (TSG101, Abcam, ab83), Flotillin 1 (Abcam, ab133497), and β-actin (as an internal control, Zen bioscience, Chengdu), then incubated with appropriate anti-HRP conjugate (Thermo), developed a film in the darkroom.

Nanoparticle tracking analysis

Size distribution within the samples was calculated by tracking the Brownian motion of individual nanoparticles using a Nanoparticle tracking analyzer (NTA, DLS, Nano-ZS, Malvern, Ltd., Malvern, UK). Parameters were set according to the instructions of the NTA instrument, and measuring time is 60 s. 30 µl of urinary exosome was diluted with PBS according to the ratio of 1:2500 into 1 ml, the size and distribution of the urinary exosome was detected and analysed to calculate by the NTA software (version 3.0).

qRT-PCR detection of exosomal housekeeping gene: mRNA (β-actin) and miRNA (snRNA-U6)

Total exosomal RNA was extracted using Trizol (Invitrogen) according to the manufacturer’s recommendations. According to the manufacturer’s protocol, cDNA was synthesized using an Omega Bio-TEK M-MLV Strand cDNA Synthesis Kit (Omega Bio-TEK, USA). Primers were designed by Primer Premier V5.0 software (Table 2). To detect the range of expression of the two candidate genes, reactions were performed using 7500 Real-time quantitative PCR system (ABI, USA). Each sample is detected for two times. The reaction procedure was two steps for 60 cycles: the first step, degeneration for 5 s at 95°C; the second step, annealing and extension for 60 s at 60°C. All the runs were completed with a melt curve analysis to confirm the specificity of amplification and lack of primer dimers. Ct (fit point method) and Cp (second derivative method) values were determined by the LightCycler 480 software version 1.2 and exported into an MS Excel data sheet (Microsoft) for analysis after background subtraction.

Statistical analysis

The experimental data were represented by means ± SD. The grey level of Western blot result was handled with Image Pro-Plus6.0; log10 method was used to deal with the average Ct value of snRNA U6 and β-actin. The data and chart were processed by Graphpad Prism 6.0.

Results

Urinary exosomal precipitate

After precipitated by PEG overnight and centrifugated for 1 h, a small piece of sediment could be seen in the tube, with gingeli size, gray or yellowish, loosely attached to the bottom
of one side of the pipe. Severely shaking and reverse centrifugal tube could cause it to fall off.

**Transmission electron microscope (TEM)**

All exosomes are round, which coated with bilayered membrane observed by transmission electron microscopy. They were scattered and less uniform in size with a diameter of about 30-150 nm. Some round particles with typical cup-shaped morphology were identified in all samples. This verified that exosomes were harvested. Quantitative analysis of the electron micrographs revealed that the average diameter of vesicle was 75.14 ± 23.3 nm, consistent in the size of exosomes. More or less round materials with high electrons density were observed on the background, their diameters were about 5-15 nm, there were no bilayered membrane structure and these were PEGs (Figure 1).

![Figure 1. Ultrastructure of exosome observed by electron microscopes (A. X8.0k; B. X12.0k). Vesicles wrapped by double layerd membranes were observed, which scattered in the context of precipitation agents.](image)

**Coomassie brilliant blue stained 10% SDS-PAGE analysis of total proteins**

Total protein of urinary exosome with Coomassie brilliant blue staining showed multiple protein bands and covered a large molecular weight range. The bands in the 20-26, 30-43, 50-60 and 72-100 kDa ranges were more visible. A strong protein band of about 95 kDa, putatively identified as Tamn-Horsfall protein, was visible in all exosomal samples. No significant differences were detected between the frequencies of the 17 urinary exosome banding patterns (Figure 2).

![Figure 2. Coomassie brilliant blue stained 10% SDS-PAGE analysis of urinary exosome.](image)

**Detection of exosomal protein by Western blot**

As expected, we randomly selected five proteins to confirm their presence in urinary exosomes, CD63, CD9, TSG101, Flotillin 1 and β-actin were all successfully detected in all of the 17 cases. The images were shown in Figure 3A. The grey level analyses by Image Pro-Plus 6.0 showed that the expression of CD63 was highly enriched, while the expression of CD9 was the lowest. TSG101 was similar to β-actin, (Figure 3B). This might be due to many factors: the difference exosomes in the urine carrying these five markers, the effect of PEG on these five proteins was not the same. In conclusion, this PEG based approach could enrich and isolate exosomes despite the interference of urinary proteins.

![Figure 3. Urinary exosomal protein marker (Western blot): A. Images of exosomal protein marker; B. The grey levels of exosomal proteins detected by Western blot.](image)

**Detection of particle size distribution by nanoparticle tracking analysis (NTA)**

Particle mode diameters were found to range between 30 and 130 nm. No significant difference in the size profiles between the 17 cases was observed. Two peaks of size distribution no matter by intensity or by mass were detected by NTA. They were located in 25.37 nm (Figure 4A) and 95.07 nm (Figure 4B), there were 5.2% and 27.9% observed by intensity and 21.5% and 10.6% by mass (Table 3).
PEG-based method for enrichment urinary exosomes could be used for detecting RNA cargo

Housekeeping gene of mRNA (β-actin) and miRNA (snRNA-U6) were detected to test if this PEG-based method for enrichment exosomes in urine could be used for detecting RNA cargo. 17 samples produced strong RNA expression, characteristic of exosomal cargo.

Melt curve and amplification curve of β-actin and snRNA-U6 showed the success of RNA amplification; the result of electrophoretogram of qRT-PCR product showed that the bands of snRNA-U6 and β-actin were about 100 bp, just as we expect (Figure 5A). These data further indicated that vesicles harvested by PEG were not adversely affected by the enrichment protocol, exosomal cargo RNA could therefore be reliably used in downstream analysis.

SnRNA-U6 gene in all the seventeen samples was detected and one in seventeen samples of β-actin was not detected. This might indicate that the expression of small molecules was more stable in the exosomes. The average Ct value was calculated by the two tested results of the same sample, log10 method was used to handle the Ct value. The log10 Ct value of snRNA-U6 was between 1.252-1.459; and that of β-actin was between 1.393-1.565 (Figure 5B). Most of the snRNA-U6 levels were in accord with that of β-actin.

Table 1. Urine parameters of seventeen volunteers.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Age</th>
<th>Sex</th>
<th>PH value</th>
<th>Specific gravity</th>
<th>Red cells (/μl)</th>
<th>White cells (/μl)</th>
<th>Epithelial cells (/μl)</th>
<th>Bacteria (/μl)</th>
<th>Conductivity (ms/cm)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>Male</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>1.015</td>
<td>3.8</td>
<td>1.9</td>
<td>1.6</td>
<td>6.5</td>
<td>15.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>Male</td>
<td>6.5</td>
<td>1.015</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>3.9</td>
<td>4.2</td>
<td>55.4</td>
<td>11.9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>Female</td>
<td>6.5</td>
<td>1.01</td>
<td>4.6</td>
<td>8.1</td>
<td>3.4</td>
<td>195.5</td>
<td>14.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>Male</td>
<td>65</td>
<td>1.015</td>
<td>12.4</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>38.8</td>
<td>15</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>Female</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>1.02</td>
<td>11.4</td>
<td>5.8</td>
<td>2.5</td>
<td>20</td>
<td>14.9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6</td>
<td>Female</td>
<td>6.5</td>
<td>1.01</td>
<td>13.7</td>
<td>1.9</td>
<td>5.6</td>
<td>76.5</td>
<td>10.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7</td>
<td>Male</td>
<td>54</td>
<td>1.025</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>1.5</td>
<td>0.8</td>
<td>6.9</td>
<td>15.8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8</td>
<td>Female</td>
<td>5.5</td>
<td>1.015</td>
<td>4.8</td>
<td>1.7</td>
<td>0.8</td>
<td>5.2</td>
<td>14.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9</td>
<td>Male</td>
<td>56</td>
<td>1.025</td>
<td>1.9</td>
<td>1.2</td>
<td>0.8</td>
<td>9.5</td>
<td>20.8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10</td>
<td>Female</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>1.025</td>
<td>15.3</td>
<td>1.1</td>
<td>2.3</td>
<td>3.4</td>
<td>17.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>11</td>
<td>Female</td>
<td>6.5</td>
<td>1.01</td>
<td>4.2</td>
<td>4.4</td>
<td>0.7</td>
<td>48.6</td>
<td>12</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>12</td>
<td>Male</td>
<td>6.5</td>
<td>1.03</td>
<td>10.3</td>
<td>1.1</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>2.7</td>
<td>29.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>13</td>
<td>Male</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>1.02</td>
<td>5.5</td>
<td>4.3</td>
<td>2.5</td>
<td>34.7</td>
<td>16</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>14</td>
<td>Female</td>
<td>6.5</td>
<td>1.01</td>
<td>3.2</td>
<td>1.6</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>2.6</td>
<td>15.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>15</td>
<td>Female</td>
<td>5.5</td>
<td>1.005</td>
<td>3.4</td>
<td>4.9</td>
<td>2.1</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>5.6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>16</td>
<td>Male</td>
<td>6.5</td>
<td>1.015</td>
<td>8.1</td>
<td>2.1</td>
<td>1.2</td>
<td>85.5</td>
<td>21.9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>17</td>
<td>Male</td>
<td>6.5</td>
<td>1.01</td>
<td>12.2</td>
<td>1.1</td>
<td>0.6</td>
<td>10.4</td>
<td>18.5</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Table 2. Primers for β-actin and snRNA U6.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Gene</th>
<th>Primer 1</th>
<th>Primer 2</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>β-actin</td>
<td>5'-AGCGGGAAATCGTGCGTGACA-3'</td>
<td>5'-GTGGACGGGAGAGGACTGG-3'</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>snRNA-U6</td>
<td>5'-CTCGCTTCGGCAGCACACCA-3'</td>
<td>5'-AACGCTTCACGAATTGCGT-3'</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 3. The size distribution of exosome detected by NTA.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Mode ± SD (nm)</th>
<th>Pd (%)</th>
<th>Est.MW (Kda) Mode ± SD</th>
<th>Intensity (%)</th>
<th>Mass (%)</th>
<th>Peak polydispersity</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Peak 1</td>
<td>25.37 ± 3.456</td>
<td>13.1</td>
<td>6.50e+3 ± 1.15e+3</td>
<td>13</td>
<td>62.6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Peak 2</td>
<td>95.07 ± 15.81</td>
<td>16.1</td>
<td>1.43e+5 ± 2.01e+4</td>
<td>87</td>
<td>37.4</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Discussion

Exosomes are membrane-bound vessels that formed by a series of regulatory processes such as endocytosis, fusion and efflux, also known as endocytic multivesicular bodies, a kind of Extracellular Vesicles (EVs). These vesicles can contain cargo both internally and embedded in the membrane, including proteins, lipids, and RNA, despite their small size [31]. It is a hot spot in the field of liquid biopsy markers for the vesicles widely are found in blood, urine, milk and other body fluids [32,33]. Urine is a desirable material for the diagnosis and classification of diseases because of the convenience of its collection in large amounts. Urinary exosome is a kind of extracellular vesicles secreted by the cells of the kidney and urogenital tract. It is potentially a more targeted source of material for biomarker discovery than unfractionated urine, and provides diagnostic and pathophysiological information without an invasive tissue biopsy [34].

But urine is a sort of mixed liquid, the produce and discharge is affected by the physiological and pathological condition, the diet and the medicine [35]. A variety of biological factors in vivo can have an impact on urine volume and component, PH and so on. Therefore, we should pay attention to the process in isolation of exosomes in urine [36,37]. Nowadays, enrichment and analysis of urinary exosomes can be achieved by several methods, although many require specialized equipment or involve lengthy protocols [38-40]. The need for timely analysis and low cost to resolve critical clinical translational bottlenecks has driven considerable innovation with several promising options recently emerging. It is an urgent demand to explore a clinical application method to isolate and enrich urinary exosome which provides an appropriate yield, the lowest possible degree of contamination. Most importance of all, it can be convenient to do in most lab and institution. We have reached the aims by the simple use of PEG, and followed with a low speed centrifuge. Exosomes in urine of 17 healthy volunteers were enriched by this method. The morphological structure of the samples was observed by electron microscopy, the specific marker molecules (CD63, CD9, TSG101 and Flotillin 1) were detected by Western blot and the particle size distribution was analysed by Malvin nanoparticle analyzer, and all of them were in accord with the performance of exosomes. The combination of different approaches proved the harvest of exosomes.

Because of the weak points of low purity, it has always been criticized for isolation of exosomes by precipitation reagents contains volume-excluding polymers (e.g.: PEG, dextrans, or polyvinyls). In this study, the impurity substance of precipitate also can be visible by electron microscope. Moreover, the isolation of urinary exosomes is complicated by the presence of Tamm-Horsfall Protein (THP), which polymerizes and co-precipitates as a contaminant. But exosomes isolated by this method can be used to search the cargo nucleic acid proved by the amplification and detection of exosomal housekeeping gene of miRNA (snRNA-U6) in all 17 cases and most samples of mRNA (β-actin). Because of the cheap price of PEG and other reagents in this process, the non-essential of special equipment such as ultracentrifuge, and simple to operate and be detected in common laboratories and companies; large-scale application especially in low-income countries and regions is expected. Therefore, it is expected that this method is one of the best measurement for the screening of liquid biopsy markers, if the strict detection strategies established, the amount of precipitation agent controlled, and the comparison is appropriate.

Although the β-actin gene in one of the seventeen samples was not detected, this is in accordance with the results obtained from other enrichment methods [41], and therefore this may be related to the RNA content of the larger molecules in exosomes. Then exosmal miRNA maybe the more stable molecular for detection.

In summary, the methodology described in this paper is a well-established approach for enrichment exosomes. This approach is quick, cheap and convenient that making feasible the analysis in a clinical facility. This method allows the possibility to study mRNA and miRNA of urinary exosome and it is especially suitable for small or medium research institutes and basic medical units.
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