An anatomical and MRI assessment of the of anterolateral ligament.
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Abstract

Background: Characterize the anatomy of the Anterolateral Ligament (ALL) in fresh donated knees and as viewed using MRI imaging within knees.

Methods: The ALL was dissected from the 8 fresh donated knees. Both dissections of fresh cadaver knees and MRI imaging were used to provide a description of the anatomical features and projections of the ALL as well as the conditions for optimal imaging of this structure.

Results: The ALL was identified in all but one of the 8 (87.5%) knees. It originates from the fibular condyle of the femur and courses anterolaterally to the tibial plateau. The ALL width within the tibial plateau was 7.42 ± 0.80 mm, 8.56 ± 0.59 mm and 10.53 ± 0.51 mm within the different in footprints of the ALL in the femur and tibia. The thickness within the tibial plateau was 1.29 ± 0.03 mm. The length of the ALL was 33.93 ± 1.81 mm. When using 3.0 T MRI, the ALL could be clearly identified within coronal positions of the 7 fresh cadaver knees.

Conclusion: We show that the ALL courses along the anterolateral side of the knee, originating in the condylus lateralis femoris and terminating in the tibial plateau. The ALL projects superior to, and connects with, the lateral meniscus. It seems likely, that a clearer image of the ALL could be achieved with a flexion of the knee at 60°.
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A Philips shower was used to analyse the series. Analyses were conducted in the coronal plane proceeding from the posterior to anterior direction using the FCL and popliteal tendon as an anatomical landmark for locating the ALL. The knee was fixed at 0º, 15º, 30º and 60º respectively.

**Results**

**Identification of ALL.**

In the 8 fresh donated knees examined, an ALL was identified in 7 (87.5%) knees. The one knee lacking an ALL was a male whose knee was injured in an accident. Although the ALL may have been damaged in the accident, no apparent residue of an ALL was present. Therefore, while a damage-induced destruction of the ALL cannot be completely ruled out, we presume that no ALL was present in this case.

**Anatomical analyses**

In the 7 cases where an ALL was identified, the ALL originates from the fibular condyle of femur and courses anterolaterally to the tibial plateau. When the ALL was found to course through the lateral meniscus we could readily identify a connection between the ALL and lateral meniscus (Figure 1). Table 1 contains a summary of the measures performed on these 7 knees. ALL widths in the tibial plateaus were 7.42 ± 0.80 mm, 8.56 ± 0.59 mm and 10.53 ± 0.51 mm at the footprints of ALL in the femur and tibia, respectively. ALL thickness in the tibial plateau was 1.29 ± 0.03 mm. While, ALL length was 33.93 ± 1.81 mm.

**MRI analyses**

In knees of donated fresh knees, MRI analyses were performed when their knees were flexed at 0º, 15º, 30º, 60º, then a clear ALL was identified at 0º, more ALL parts could be readily identified when knee was flexed at 60º (Figure 2).

**Table 1. The data of the anatomy of ALL.**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>S. no</th>
<th>Age</th>
<th>Gender</th>
<th>Length</th>
<th>Width</th>
<th>Thickness in tibial plateau</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>in femur footprint</td>
<td>in tibial plateau</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>45</td>
<td>Male</td>
<td>36.5</td>
<td>9.62</td>
<td>6.73</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>50</td>
<td>Male</td>
<td>33.5</td>
<td>8.31</td>
<td>7.91</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>48</td>
<td>Female</td>
<td>34.7</td>
<td>8.94</td>
<td>7.46</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Figure 1. Photographs of the ALL. A: ALL originated from the fibular condyle of femur and coursed anterolaterally to the tibial plateau. B: ALL connected with the lateral meniscus. LFC: Condylus Lateralis Femoris; ALL: Anterolateral Ligament; LCL: Lateral Collateral Ligament; LM: Lateral Meniscus; FH: Fibular Head; GT: Gerdy's Tubercle.

Figure 2. The knee was fixed at 0º (A), 15º (B), or 30º (C) or 60º (D). Yellow arrow indicates the ALL. As indicated in these images, the ALL was more clearly evident when the knee was flexed at 60º (D).
Discussion

Since originally introduced by Segond [10] in 1879, the existence and description of the Anterolateral Ligament (ALL) has appeared in numerous reports but has been fraught with confusion regarding its exact anatomy and name. A degree of consistency was established in 2013 when Clases [7] reintroduced a more precise description of the anatomy of this ligament and designated it as the Anterolateral Ligament (ALL). While a number of studies on the ALL have been performed using a variety of techniques, few have applied MRI as a means to assess this structure [11]. In this report, MRI was used to identify and characterize the ALL within fresh donated knees. Our results demonstrate that the ALL can be recognized with MRI in these cases.

In all but one of the 8 knees of fresh cadavers examined (87.5%) it was possible to locate and dissect the ALL. The one case in which we failed to locate an ALL involved a male that had been injured in a car accident. However, we felt this accident had little effect, as no ALL residue was found in this case. Therefore, we concluded that no ligament was present. This conclusion is consistent with other information in the literature indicating that not all knees may have an ALL. For example, while Scott Caterine et al. [12] found ALLs in all knees dissected, Dodds [13] found ALLs in only 83% of their knees and Clases [7], who reintroduced the ALL in 2013, reported that 97% of the knees they studied had an ALL.

On the basis of our anatomical analyses, we observed that the ALL originates at the lateral condyle of the femur and courses anterolaterally to the tibial tuberosity. While Alex [14] reported 2 variations in the footprint of the ALL in the femur, we did not observe such variations, which might be due to our small sample size. We did observe a connection between the ALL and lateral meniscus, which was also seen by many other investigators. Under arthroscopy, Sonnery-Cottet [15] observed the ALL to connect with the lateral meniscus as it passed through the lateral meniscus. Our anatomical findings of the ALL are consistent with descriptions provided from previous research on the ALL.

The ALL within the knees could be readily located with MRI. When the knee was flexed at 60°, the ALL was more perceptible than that at 0°. Steven et al. studied the ALL only when the knee was flexed at 0°. The ALL could be more readily identified when the knee was flexed at 0° or 30° versus that when flexed at 15°. Based upon our findings that the ALL could be seen more clearly when the knee was flexed at 60°.

As the ALL consists of a ligament confined to the anterolateral aspect of the knee (Figure 1), the observation of this ligament in its entirety will be limited. Steven [16] noted that the entire ALL will seldom be found within a monolayer of tissue. When assessing the coronal and sagittal planes of the knees with MRI, we found it difficult to identify the ALL within the sagittal plane. The ALL could more easily be seen within the coronal plane and the ALL within a knee flexed at 0° could be identified more readily, but usually cannot be seen in a single MRI image. According to our results, it appears that an optimal observation of the ALL can be achieved with the knee flexed at 60°.

In summary, we show that the ALL courses along the anterolateral side of the knee, originating in the condylosis lateralis femoris and terminating in the tibial plateau. The ALL projects superior to, and connects with, the lateral meniscus. It seems likely, that a clearer image of the ALL could be achieved with a flexion of the knee at 60°.
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<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>66</td>
<td>Male</td>
<td>31.2</td>
<td>8.45</td>
<td>6.32</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>56</td>
<td>Male</td>
<td>35.4</td>
<td>8.43</td>
<td>8.45</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6</td>
<td>48</td>
<td>Female</td>
<td>33.9</td>
<td>8.49</td>
<td>8.15</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7</td>
<td>52</td>
<td>Male</td>
<td>32.3</td>
<td>7.7</td>
<td>6.89</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Average</td>
<td>52.14</td>
<td>33.9</td>
<td>8.56</td>
<td>7.42</td>
<td>10.53</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Standard deviation</td>
<td>7.03</td>
<td>1.81</td>
<td>0.59</td>
<td>0.8</td>
<td>0.53</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mean square</td>
<td>49.48</td>
<td>3.28</td>
<td>0.35</td>
<td>0.63</td>
<td>0.28</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
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