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Abstract

The paper presents the automated region based segmentation of liver tumor and hepatic vein from abdominal CTA images of diseased populations with inconsistent enhancement. In this paper, the liver is first segmented from abdomen CTA images using fast and reliable semi-automatic method localizing region-based active contours. A new fuzzy Bernstein polynomial level set algorithm is proposed for fast and efficient segmentation of liver lesions and hepatic vein. The region of interest is represented in a lower dimensional subspace using a set of pre-defined Bernstein basis functions. The initial level set is able to evolve directly from the initial segmentation by spatial fuzzy clustering to segment lesions and hepatic vein. The performance evaluation of the proposed algorithm was carried out on the dataset of 10 liver tumor patients. The performance comparison of the proposed algorithm with other state of art algorithms demonstrates its robustness in segmenting low contrast and inhomogeneous CTA images.
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Methods and Materials

Liver segmentation
In order to perform liver tumor and vessel segmentation, the liver has to be extracted from the whole abdomen CTA image (Figure 1). In this work, the liver is extracted by utilizing the local region based semi-automatic approach introduced [7]. The contour is roughly selected by the user in all the slices. The selected contour is used as the initial contour for the active contour to evolve. The evolution of initial contour is based on local information, which makes it capable of segmenting objects with heterogeneous feature profiles.

Figure 2 shows the 3 different initial contours marked. Figure 2a shows contour marked well inside the area of interest Figure 2c shows contour marked well outside the area of interest and Figure 2e shows contour more near the area of interest. As the segmentation result depends completely upon user’s knowledge of location of liver, the contour which is near the area of interest evolves very fast and produce good segmentation results when compared to the other two initial contours.

Tumor segmentation
The proposed tumor segmentation algorithm is a multistage segmentation technique consisting of 3 stages

1). Image de-noising: Median filter is used to improve the contrast of the tumor region and reduce the speckle noise.

2). Spatial fuzzy c-means algorithm: The spatial FCM clustering is applied to the filtered image with the number of clusters defined by the user. The cluster of interest is also selected by the user.

3). Bernstein level set: The initial contour of the level set is obtained from the spatial fuzzy c-means output. It is able to evolve directly from the initial segmentation done by spatial fuzzy clustering. The automatic initialization of initial contour will speed up the level set evolution and accurate segmentation.

Image de-noising using median filter
A 3 × 3 2D mask of 8 neighboring pixels is considered for median filtering. The median filter considers each pixel in an image and analyses its 8 neighboring pixels. Then the filter replaces the value of pixel under consideration with the median intensity value of 8 neighboring pixels. The median is calculated by first sorting the neighborhood pixel intensity values and then the average of their two middle values is obtained as median value. When considering the next pixel for filtering the updated neighborhood values are used. The concept of median filter can be represented pictorially by Figure 3.

Figure 1. A schematic of the automated technique for the hepatic lesion and hepatic vein segmentation. The blue and green boxes show the input and output data respectively. The orange boxes represent different modules of the proposed algorithm.

Figure 2. Segmentation of liver from CTA abdomen image using localizing region-based active contours (a) Manual initialization-1 (b) Final segmentation after 350 iterations (c) Manual initialization-2 (d) Final segmentation after 100 iterations (e) Manual initialization-3 (f) Final segmentation after 15 iterations.
A novel region based segmentation of hepatic tumors and hepatic vein in low contrast CTA images using Bernstein polynomials

Bernstein polynomial basis function

In the mathematical theory of function approximation, the Bernstein polynomial plays a very important role. It approximates known as well as unknown functions with the desired degree of accuracy. The level set formulation [9] of Chan-Vese energy functional is written as,

\[ \varepsilon (\Phi, c_1, c_2) = \int [f(x) - c_1]^2 H(\Phi) \, dx + [f(x) - c_2]^2 (1 - H(\Phi)) \, dx \]  

(3)

The image \( f(x) \) is defined over the domain \( \Omega \). \( \Phi \) is the level set function which is positive inside the zero level set and negative outside the zero level set. The regularized version of Heaviside function is represented by \( H_c \). The level set function \( \Phi \) which minimizes the energy function \( \varepsilon (\Phi, c_1, c_2) \) creates segmentation so that the foreground and background are approximated by intensity levels \( c_1 \) and \( c_2 \). In the proposed method the scalar \( c_1 \) and \( c_2 \) of Chan-Vese energy functional is replaced by two smooth functions \( c_1^{\infty}(x) \) and \( c_2^{\infty}(x) \). Linear combination of few Bernstein basis functions is used in the proposed method to represent \( c_1^{\infty}(x) \) and \( c_2^{\infty}(x) \). Mathematically the two smooth functions are written as,

\[ c_1^{\infty}(x) = \sum \alpha_k B_k(x) \]  

(4)

\[ c_2^{\infty}(x) = \sum \beta_k B_k(x) \]  

(5)

\( B_k \) is a multidimensional Bernstein polynomial. The 2D Bernstein polynomial is given by [9],

\[ B_{n,n}(t) = \binom{n}{i} t^i (1-t)^{n-i}, \text{ for } i=0,1,...,n \rightarrow (6) \]

where \( \binom{n}{i} = n!/i!(n-i)! \). There are \( (n+1) \) \( n \)th degree Bernstein polynomials \( B_{i,n} \), for \( i<0 \) or \( i>n \). The main objective of the proposed method is to perform segmentation in the presence of intensity inhomogeneity. For example, the Bernstein polynomial [10] of degree 2 are given by,

\[ B_{0,2}(t) = (1-t)^2 \]  

(7)

\[ B_{1,2}(t) = 2t(1-t) \]  

(7)

\[ B_{2,2}(t) = t^2 \]  

Where \( 0 \leq t \leq 1 \) is plotted as (Figure 4).
regularizing level set curve evolution. The spatial FCM algorithm is used to determine the approximate contours of interest. The approximate contour of interest known as zero contour starts from an arbitrary region [11].

\[
\Phi_0(x, y) = \begin{cases} 
-C, & \Phi_0(x, y) < 0 \\
C, & \text{otherwise}
\end{cases} \quad (9)
\]

\( C \) is a constant. The Dirac function is given by

\[
\delta_\varepsilon(x) = \begin{cases} 
0, & |x| > \varepsilon \\
\frac{1}{\varepsilon^2} \left(1 - \cos\left(\frac{\pi x}{\varepsilon}\right)\right), & |x| \leq \varepsilon
\end{cases} \quad (10)
\]

The Heaviside function \( H(\Phi_0) \) is given by,

\[
H(\Phi_0) = \begin{cases} 
1, & \Phi_0 \geq 0 \\
0, & \Phi_0 < 0
\end{cases} \quad (11)
\]

\( m_1(X) = H_\varepsilon(\Phi) \) and \( m_2(X) = 1-H_\varepsilon(\Phi) = 1-m_1(X) \). The smoothing parameter \( \nu \) will have values \( 0<\nu<1 \), where higher value of \( \nu \) makes the contour smoother. For noisy images, \( \nu \) should be set higher. The regularizing parameters \( \lambda_1 \), \( \lambda_2 \geq 0 \) are the fitting term parameters. It can adjust intensity variations between average intensity inside and outside the level set curve. \( C \) ie \( c_1 \) and \( c_2 \) with the corresponding values of object and background [8]. \( \lambda_1 \), \( \lambda_2 \) are considered equal in this paper. By numerically solving the following Equation 5 curve evolves,

\[
\frac{\partial \Phi}{\partial t} = \left[-|f(X) - A^T\Phi(X)|^2 + |f(X) - B^T\Phi(X)|^2\right] \delta_\varepsilon(\Phi) + \nu \delta_\varepsilon(\Phi) \cdot div\left(\nabla \Phi\right) \quad (12)
\]

where \( \delta_{\varepsilon}(\Phi) \) is the regularized version of the Dirac delta function.

**Vessel segmentation**

Once the liver and tumor were segmented, vessel segmentation is carried out. The hepatic vessels extraction is one of the critical tasks in clinical practice. The complex structure and low contrast of the hepatic vessels make CTA image segmentation a challenging task. The structure and location of hepatic vasculature are very important in planning and performing hepatic surgeries. For performing surgeries better, the surgeon must know the relative location of the hepatic tumor to major blood vessels. Based on the information which radiologist gives to the hepatic surgeon the proper surgical approach is chosen. The information on anatomy and location of the hepatic vein plays an essential role in surgical planning to avoid post-operative complications such as venous congestion [12]. Various algorithms have been proposed for vasculature segmentation in medical images. Meinzer et al. proposed a surgical planning system based on object-oriented framework [13]. The vessel tree is segmented by threshold based method where the threshold and starting point are selected by the user. Sum and Cheung proposed an approach for segmenting vessels based on level sets. They considered a local term that takes local image contrast into account [14]. This is a semi-automatic method where the user initiates the initial level set. Oliveira et al. proposed a region growing algorithm based on Gaussian mixture model to segment liver vasculature [15]. Zeng et al. proposed the extreme learning machine method for liver vessel segmentation [16]. At first, the image noise is removed by anisotropic filter and then vessel filters like satu, frangi, offset medialness filter and strain energy filter are used to extract vessel structure features. Then ELM is applied to segment liver vessels from the background. The vessel segmentation algorithms [17,18] are generally classified into i) pattern recognition techniques ii) model-based approaches iii) Tracking-based approaches iv) artificial intelligence-based approaches v) Neural network-based approaches. In this paper, the vessel segmentation technique is similar to the segmentation algorithm for tumor. The proposed Fuzzy Bernstein level set algorithm segments the hepatic vessel very fast and accurate when compared to other existing techniques.

**Results and Discussion**

**Dataset**

In order to demonstrate the strength and limitations of the proposed algorithm, the clinical dataset of portal venous phase images of 5 liver tumor patients have been used. Each patient dataset has an average of 35 CT scan images. The patients are in the average age group of 60. The dataset has been collected from Liberty scans, Chennai, India. The dataset used are acquired using a Philips iCT 256 machine. The resolution of the CT image is 0.68 × 0.68 mm in axial view with a thickness of 5 mm. The ground truths are marked carefully by the expert radiologists.

**Parameter selection**

The proposed algorithm requires specification of few parameters namely a number of cluster for spatial FCM clustering \( n \), Bernstein polynomial degree \( m \) and regularizing parameters \( \lambda_1 \), \( \lambda_2 \). To cluster both the inhomogeneous and homogeneous tumor, the cluster number \( n=3 \) is enough. As the CTA images used have very low contrast, to cluster the hepatic vein, \( n=6 \) is required. The 1D Bernstein polynomial of highest degree \( m=2 \) adequately models the intensity variations in the image. Choosing a larger value of \( m \) will add to the computational cost. The regularizing parameters \( \lambda_1 \) and \( \lambda_2 \) are considered equal for simplicity. \( \lambda_1=\lambda_2=0.3 \) is used throughout in this paper. For all the images, the smoothing parameter \( \nu=0.3 \) is used in this paper.

Figure 5 illustrates the liver tumor segmentation where 5 examples are shown to demonstrate the capability of the proposed model. They include a phantom image of the liver with lesions, extracted from CT abdomen phantom to illustrate the idea and 4 CTA images obtained from the same patient for the feasibility study. In each image, the tumor has a weak and indistinct boundary. Moreover, the tumor is inhomogeneous and lies near the liver boundary, which makes the segmentation task tougher. The input image is clustered into three, among which the cluster containing tumor is selected for level set evolution.
A novel region based segmentation of hepatic tumors and hepatic vein in low contrast CTA images using Bernstein polynomials

Figure 5. Comparison of liver tumor segmentation algorithms. (a) CT Liver images of a single patient (b) Spatial FCM of liver images (c) Segmentation output using fuzzy level set technique (d) Segmentation output using fuzzy legendre level set technique with iterations=3, m=3, λ=0.5 (e) Segmentation output using the proposed fuzzy Bernstein level set technique with iterations=2, m=2, λ=0.5.

Figure 6. Comparison of hepatic vein segmentation algorithms.

As the input image has very low contrast, using the spatial FCM algorithm the number of clusters needed to segment the hepatic vein is six. The cluster containing the hepatic vein is chosen so as to evolve the initial level set.

Performance evaluation

To evaluate the performance of the proposed algorithm, it is compared pictorially and quantitatively with those closely related algorithms such as fuzzy level set approach [4] and our previous work fuzzy Legendre level set (Figure 6) [6]. The spatial fuzzy clustering level set method [4] proposed by Li et al. is an automated segmentation technique. The level set evolves utilizing the spatial fuzzy cluster as an initial contour. The FCM algorithm which incorporates the spatial information into fuzzy membership function approximates the boundaries of interest well. Our previous method fuzzy Legendre level set algorithm [6] is an edge independent segmentation approach. The Legendre level set approach proposed by Mukherjee and Acton [5] is utilized to evolve the initial curve obtained from the spatial FCM clustering. In the Legendre level set algorithm, the intensity inhomogeneity is modelled using Legendre basis function.

The computational time of the proposed algorithm for both tumor and hepatic vein segmentation is very less compared to the existing methods (Figures 7 and 8, Tables 1 and 2).

Table 1. Comparison of computation time of liver tumor segmentation algorithms in seconds.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Algorithm</th>
<th>Image 1</th>
<th>Image 2</th>
<th>Image 3</th>
<th>Image 4</th>
<th>Image 5</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Fuzzy level set</td>
<td>22.35379</td>
<td>22.93168</td>
<td>22.03924</td>
<td>22.12314</td>
<td>22.27158</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fuzzy L2S</td>
<td>1.367888</td>
<td>1.509594</td>
<td>1.509213</td>
<td>1.628049</td>
<td>1.569496</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Proposed method</td>
<td>1.281243</td>
<td>1.120557</td>
<td>1.206863</td>
<td>1.204285</td>
<td>1.105159</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 2. Comparison of computation time of liver vessel segmentation algorithms in seconds.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Algorithm</th>
<th>Image 1</th>
<th>Image 2</th>
<th>Image 3</th>
<th>Image 4</th>
<th>Image 5</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Fuzzy level set</td>
<td>31.35321</td>
<td>21.27127</td>
<td>22.43313</td>
<td>22.04474</td>
<td>23.91555</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fuzzy L2S</td>
<td>1.92264</td>
<td>1.554592</td>
<td>1.28743</td>
<td>1.615414</td>
<td>1.420854</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Proposed method</td>
<td>1.511343</td>
<td>1.474345</td>
<td>1.263298</td>
<td>1.292721</td>
<td>1.227347</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Figure 7. Graphical representation of computation time of liver tumor segmentation algorithms in seconds.
The local confinement error is given by

\[ E(R_1, R_2, p_i) = \frac{|R(R_1, p_i) \cap R(R_2, p_i)|}{|R(R_1, p_i)|} \rightarrow (21) \]

If two segments \( R_1 \) and \( R_2 \) are subsets then \( p_i \) will be the area of confinement. If they are not subsets then error value should be calculated. The Global Consistency Error [14] is calculated in terms of Local Consistency Error, it is given by

\[ GCE(S_1, S_2) = \frac{1}{n} \min \left\{ \sum_i E(S_1, S_2, p_i), \sum_i E(S_2, S_1, p_i) \right\} \rightarrow (22) \]

Lower the GCE value, better the segmentation and vice versa.

**Calculation of pair-counting based metrics:** Rand Index (RI) is a measure of similarity between two segmented regions [3].

\[ RI = \frac{a+b}{a+b+c+d}, \]

where the number of agreements between two segmented regions is given by \( a+b \) and disagreements are given by \( c+d \). Rand Index value closer to one indicates good segmentation and 0 indicates poor segmentation (Figure 9).

**Information theoretic based methods:** Variation of Information (Vol) is a measure of distance between two clusters. Vol \( (R_1; R_2) = H(R_1) + H(R_2) - 2 MI(R_1, R_2) \) where \( H(R_1) \) is entropy of \( R_1 \), \( H(R_2) \) is entropy of \( R_2 \) and \( MI(R_1, R_2) \) is mutual information between \( R_1 \) and \( R_2 \). Vol value closer to 1 indicates identical clusters and 0 indicates dissimilar clusters.

The evaluation of segmentation accuracy metrics shows that the proposed algorithm outperforms the other state of art algorithms in segmenting the liver tumor and hepatic veins.

**Figure 8.** Graphical representation of computation time of liver vessel segmentation algorithms in seconds.

**Spatial overlap based metrics:** The spatial overlap metrics are derived from the four basic cardinalities of the confusion matrix, namely True Positives (TP), the False Positives (FP), the True Negatives (TN) and False Negatives (FN). To explain these evaluation metrics, consider the following definitions [19].

- \( X \): Set of all voxels in an image.
- \( G \): A set of voxels labelled as ground truth.
- \( A \): A set of voxels labelled as the segmented object by proposed method.
- \( \hat{G} \): False positive FP \( \cap A \).

True Positive (TP) is set of commonly labelled voxels between ground truth and proposed method. \( TP=G \cap A \). True Negative (TN) is set of non-target-labelled voxels between ground truth and proposed method. \( TN=(G) \cap \hat{A} \). True Positive (TP) is set of commonly labelled voxels between ground truth and proposed method. \( TP=G \cap A \). True Negative (TN) is set of non-target-labelled voxels between ground truth and proposed method. \( TN=(G) \cap \hat{A} \). True Positive (TP) is set of commonly labelled voxels between ground truth and proposed method. \( TP=G \cap A \). True Negative (TN) is set of non-target-labelled voxels between ground truth and proposed method. \( TN=(G) \cap \hat{A} \). True Positive (TP) is set of commonly labelled voxels between ground truth and proposed method. \( TP=G \cap A \). True Negative (TN) is set of non-target-labelled voxels between ground truth and proposed method. \( TN=(G) \cap \hat{A} \).

- **Jaccard index (JAC):**
  \[ JAC = \frac{a}{a+b+c+d} \]
  \( 0 \leq JAC \leq 1 \). JAC value closer to 1 indicates good segmentation and closer to 0 indicates poor segmentation.

- **Dice coefficient (DICE):**
  \[ DICE = \frac{2TP}{2TP + FP + FN} \rightarrow (13) \]
  \( 0 \leq DICE \leq 1 \). The DICE value closer to 1 indicates good segmentation and closer to 0 indicates poor segmentation. The coefficient (DICE) is also called overlap index in the most commonly used metric in medical image segmentation evaluation.

- **Rand Index (RI):**
  \[ RI = \frac{a+b}{a+b+c+d} \]
  \( 0 \leq RI \leq 1 \). The more accurate test: the curve is closer to the left-hand border and then to the top border of the ROC curve.

The evaluation of segmentation accuracy metrics shows that the proposed algorithm outperforms the other state of art algorithms in segmenting the liver tumor and hepatic veins.

**Figure 9.** Graphical representation of DC, JAC, Precision, RI, Entropy (PPV), GCE, Vol.

1. The tradeoff between sensitivity and specificity.
2. The more accurate test: the curve is closer to the left-hand border and then to the top border of the ROC curve.
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3. Less accurate test: when the curve is closer to the 45-degree diagonal of the ROC space.
4. The area under the ROC curve (AUC) is a measure of test accuracy [21].

![ROC Curve for Tumor Segmentation](image)

**Figure 10.** ROC curve (a) Comparison of algorithms in tumor segmentation.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Algorithm</th>
<th>AUC calculated</th>
<th>Diagnostic accuracy</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Tumor segmentation</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>FLS</td>
<td>90.41%</td>
<td>Excellent</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>FL2S</td>
<td>95.25%</td>
<td>Excellent</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>FBLIS</td>
<td>98.61%</td>
<td>Excellent</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

The Area Under the ROC Curve (AUC) will always satisfy, \(0 \leq \text{AUC} \leq 1\). When AUC is close to 1, the test is said to be a perfect diagnostic test. When the AUC is less than or equal to 0.5, it is said to be a non-discriminating test [22]. It can be observed that the proposed algorithm segmented even the fine branches and larger branches of the hepatic vein. The validation results for blood vessels are obtained through corrosion casts that require expensive equipment. Hence the hard to achieve validation of vessels are not carried out in this paper.

**Conclusion**

A novel fuzzy level set algorithm has been proposed for automated segmentation of liver tumor and hepatic vein. The proposed algorithm utilizes the spatial fuzzy clustering to locate the boundary of the region of interest exactly. The extracted region of the interest boundary is used as the initial level set contour. This enables the level set evolution to be faster and accurate. The Bernstein polynomials are used to model the regions of foreground and background in Chan-Vese energy functional. Performance evaluation has been carried out using 5 different slice images from the same patient. The experimental results showed satisfied performances. In future work, we would like to reconstruct the segmented tumor and hepatic vein in 3D. The 3D model will be used to calculate the distance between tumor and hepatic vein, which will be critical information for efficient hepatic surgery planning.
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