A novel method to replace classical spinal manometry: a non-inferiority study.
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Abstract

Background: For over 200 years, researchers studied CSF pressure, yet there is limited knowledge on its influence on diseases and syndromes such as Post-Lumbar Puncture Headache (PLPH). In this study, a practical and cost-effective method was proposed to measure CSF pressure.

Methods: In this study, a prototype of a novel device to measure CSF pressure is presented. The device is composed of two parts: a drop sensor and a digital chronometer. The drop sensor is composed of an infrared receiver/transmitter where CSF drops are captured. CSF drop time intervals are calculated by the chronometer. Novel device was tested on a non-pulsatile CSF model filled with Ringer lactate using seven different types of spinal needles. A reference table was constructed from these measurements. The accuracy of the novel method was tested with a blind study. The reference table was used to predict the CSF pressure.

Results: The novel CSF pressure measurement method is non-inferior to the conventional spinal manometry with a slope range of 0.9704-1.011 within 95% confidence interval (p<0.0001).

Conclusion: The novel device can be used to measure CSF pressure as an alternative to the spinal manometer. Advanced models of the novel device can be developed with sophisticated and more detailed features such as spinal needle inner and outer diameters or brand types and reference tables can be uploaded to the software of the device.
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Introduction

Cerebrospinal Fluid (CSF) pressure has been of interest of researchers as early as 1881 and experimental set-ups to measure CSF pressure date back to 1887 [1]. For clinicians, CSF pressure is of concern for different reasons. While neurologists and paediatricians use CSF pressure for diagnostic Lumbar Puncture (LP), anaesthetists use CSF pressure measurement as a means of investigation during spinal anesthesia [2]. It has been reported that one of the reasons of Post-Lumbar Puncture Headache (PLPH) is increased CSF pressure [3]. CSF pressure from the lumbar region is classically measured by a manometer [4]. However, spinal manometer has some disadvantages in terms of the time required to obtain a correct CSF pressure and its cost. An alternative way of measurement is possible by calculation of flow rate of CSF through a spinal needle based on Poiseuille's law [5].

Normal Cerebrospinal fluid Opening Pressure (COP) is 7-10 cm H2O in adults [7,8]. CSF pressure can change depending on the body position [4]. Flexed and relaxed body position of the patient during Lumbar Puncture (LP) is an important determining factor for the correct COP measurement such that COP in flexed position can lead to over-diagnosis of increased COP [1,4]. CSF is produced from the choroid plexus cells at a rate of 0.4 ml/min in adults [9]. With the use of Magnetic Resonance Imaging (MRI), it was shown that CSF flow is pulsatile [10]. In cranio-caudal direction CSF flow is 0.77 ml/min and in caudo-cranial direction CSF flow is 0.41 ± 0.51 ml/min [11]. CSF flow depends on the pulsation of the plexus arterials. CSF flows from the lateral ventricles to the third and fourth ventricle and the basal cisterns [8,12,13]. Then, CSF flows through the subarachnoid space. CSF is mainly absorbed through the subarachnoid space of the cranial nerves that invade eyes and the nose. CSF is also absorbed from the spinal nerves, the cribriform plate and lymphatic system [14]. CSF is renewed four to five times, daily. Investigation of CSF has been limited due to its invasive nature. This problem hindered our knowledge on healthy patients in terms of their CSF pressure and the physicochemical properties of CSF. The disadvantages presented by the conventional spinal manometry
are indicative of a need to use alternative time and cost-effective methods. In this study, we hypothesized that CSF drop time intervals through a spinal needle can be used to predict CSF pressure with high accuracy and in shorter period of time. We present a novel device to measure CSF pressure as a need to overcome problems due to spinal manometer such as prolonged measurement time, infection risk and the cost of manometer.

Methods

Novel device

We present the prototype of a novel device with patent number 2017-GE-183403. The device we designed is composed of two parts: a drop sensor and a digital chronometer. The drop sensor is composed of an infrared receiver/transmitter where first and fourth CSF drops work as a shutter for the chronometer. First drop passing through the sensor starts the chronometer and the fourth drop passing through the sensor stops the chronometer. The schematic of the device is depicted in Figure 1. The time interval between first and fourth drop was recorded for each pressure point in triplicate measurements for each type of spinal needle.

![Figure 1](image.png)

**Figure 1.** Illustration of the novel device. The model is made of a glass tube (1) with a height of 30 cm above the spinal needle insertion point. The insertion point of spinal needle (2) is point zero. Spinal needles are inserted via a rubber apparatus which is placed at a height of 13 cm from the bottom part of the glass tube. The glass tube is filled with Ringer lactate solution. Drops (3) through the spinal needles are detected by an infrared receiver/transmitter (4). The time intervals between the first and the fourth drops are calculated by a digital chronometer (5,6).

CSF model

This *in vitro* study was performed on a non-pulsatile CSF model (Figure 1). The model is made of a glass tube with a height of 30 cm above the spinal needle insertion point. The insertion point of spinal needle is point zero. Spinal needles were inserted via a rubber apparatus which was placed at a height of 13 cm from the bottom part of the glass tube. Ringer lactate solution was used as it was reported with optimum characteristics reflective of the CSF [15]. Our four-drop method which employs a non-pulsatile CSF model was compared with a pulsatile CSF model. The difference between the non-pulsatile and pulsatile CSF models was compared using 22 G, 25 G and 26 G quincke spinal needles.

Spinal needles

Spinal needles of 22 G quincke (M. Schilling), 22 G pencil point (Braun Spinocan), 25 G quincke (M. Schilling), 25 G pencil point (Braun Spinocan), 26 G quincke (M. Schilling), 26 G pencil point (Braun Spinocan), 27 G quincke (M. Schilling) were used to compose a reference table for a pressure range of 1-30 cm H$_2$O. Before each measurement, each pressure level of cm H$_2$O was adjusted using a classical manometer. Starting from 1 cm H$_2$O pressure, the interval time of the first and fourth drops per cm H$_2$O was monitored for each spinal needle up to 30 cm H$_2$O. This process was performed in triplicates and a reference table was constructed by the mean of these repeated experiments for each condition (Supplementary Table 1).

Blind randomised test

Pressure results for each spinal needle were validated with computer-generated randomization using 10 random pressure points between 1 and 30 cm H$_2$O. The test was performed by two examiners. First examiner adjusted the pressure points determined by the computer, and covered the glass tube with an aluminium foil. Then, using our novel device, the second examiner monitored the drop-time intervals in a blind manner for each random-selected pressure point. All experiments were performed in triplicates and the mean drop-time of the blind measurements was recorded. The pressure points were predicted from the recorded values using the reference pressure table for each spinal needle (Supplementary Table 1).

Statistical analysis

The mean of triplicate measurements were taken and the statistics used for comparison of these two methods was performed with Bland-Altman test followed by Deming II analysis. The analysis was performed within the 95% confidence interval [16,17]. The pulsatile and non-pulsatile CSF models were compared using Student’s t-test. The effect of density of CSF was analysed using Pearson’s correlation coefficient. Graphpad Prism 7 software trial version was used for all statistical analysis.

Results

Four-drop method is non-inferior to the conventional CSF manometry

It has been shown that our new method is non-inferior to the conventional CSF pressure measurement by manometry with a slope range of 0.9704-1.011 within 95% confidence interval (p<0.0001).
The precision of this new CSF pressure measurement method was tested via blind randomised test. The distribution of the data obtained from these blind tests were analysed with a Bland-Altman scatter plot (Figure 2a).

As seen in Table 1, there are two important points. First, the bias between the mean differences (0.07) and SD of bias (0.8) are within acceptable range. Second, %95 limits of agreement is between -1.5 and +1.6. In the scatter plot, there are four values at -2 that are outside of the limits of agreement. From clinical point of view, the interval of agreements defined by our Bland-Altman analysis is within acceptable limits (± 2 cm H$_2$O CSF pressure).

Since normal distribution of the data was observed in Bland-Altman scatter plot, statistical analysis was followed by Deming II regression method. As indicated in the equation (y=0.9905x+0.07686), the two methods are highly similar (Table 2, Figure 2b). From the slope value (0.9905), a good overall concurrence between the two methods was observed.

Although the readings obtained by the new method is very similar to the conventional manometry, for large-diameter spinal needles (22 G), the time intervals corresponding to the pressure range of 18-30 cm H$_2$O were in close range (Supplementary Table 1). For instance, for 23 cm H$_2$O, 24 cm H$_2$O and 25 cm H$_2$O pressure levels, the time intervals measured by the chronometer was 9.9 s, 9.8 s and 9 s, respectively. In order to measure these range of pressures with large-diameter needles, it is appropriate to use a special design chronometer to obtain an accurate pressure measurement.

For small-diameter needles such as 26 G quincke and pencil point; and 27 G quincke needle, the time intervals corresponding to 1-15 cm H$_2$O were highly distinct. For 27 G quincke needle, the time intervals for 5-1 cm H$_2$O were 326.6 s, 383.1 s, 491.4 s, 638.8 s and 1047.7 s, respectively. The time interval was approximately 17 min (1047.7 s) for the fourth drop corresponding to 1 cm H$_2$O.

### Table 1. Bland-Altman analysis of four-drop method and CSF manometry.

<p>| | |</p>
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Bias</td>
<td>0.07143</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SD of bias</td>
<td>0.8044</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>95% limits of agreement</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>From</td>
<td>-1.505</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>To</td>
<td>1.648</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### Table 2. Deming II regression analysis of four-drop method and CSF manometry.

<p>| | |</p>
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Slope</td>
<td>0.9704 to 1.011</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>y-intercept</td>
<td>-0.2915 to 0.4453</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>p value</td>
<td>&lt;0.0001</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Figure 2. Four-drop method is non-inferior to the conventional CSF pressure method via manometry. The distribution of difference and average of pressure data of our novel four-drop method and conventional manometry is shown with (a) Bland-Altman test. 95% of limits of agreement is between -1.505 and 1.648. CSF measurement by four-drop method and CSF manometry using 22 G, 25 G, 26 G quincke and pencil point needles and 27 G quincke needle were compared by (b) Deming II regression analysis. Slope is 0.9704 to 1.011 and the equation is $y=0.9905x+0.07686$ (p<0.0001).
Discussion

We have shown for the first time that the interval between first and fourth consecutive drops of CSF from a spinal needle is reflective of the opening CSF pressure. The first and fourth drop of CSF is an indirect means to measure COP.

CSF pressure has been of interest of clinicians for different reasons. While neurologists and paediatricians use CSF pressure for diagnostic LP, anesthetists use CSF pressure for investigation during spinal anesthesia [18]. The correct measurement of COP is essential for many conditions such as cerebral infection diseases and traumatic brain injury [19]. However, there are several constraints to measure COP accurately.

First, spinal manometer-related errors compromise this measurement [20]. Second, the gauge of the spinal needle used during LP is determining factor of correct CSF pressure measurement. Third, the density of CSF influences accurate measurement [20]. Second, the gauge of the spinal needle used during LP is determining factor of correct CSF pressure measurement. Third, the density of CSF influences accurate measurement [20]. However, we have devised a novel method which overcomes all of these caveats. Elimination of these points and fourth consecutive drops of CSF from a spinal needle is an indirect means to measure COP. We have shown for the first time that the interval between first and fourth consecutive drops of CSF from a spinal needle, is not influenced by these disrupting conditions such as CSF density and the spinal needle gauge [6].

Our novel method which is grounded on Poiseullie's law for measuring the time between the first and the fourth consecutive drops of CSF from a spinal needle, is not influenced by these disrupting conditions such as CSF density and the spinal needle gauge [6]. Classical method to measure COP with a spinal manometer has several disadvantages. There is conflicting data for the time required to measure CSF pressure (equilibration time) with a manometer [2,22]. Equilibration time is the time required for the CSF to reach the top most level in the manometer. However, for 22 G quincke needle the equilibration time goes over 120 s and for 25 G quincke over 180 s, with at most 65% success. Therefore, for needles smaller than 20 G, the time required to measure CSF pressure is over 2 min only reflecting 65% of the true CSF pressure. For instance, if the patients CSF pressure is 10 mmH\(_2\)O, with 22 G needle at 120 s the value on the manometer will read 6.5 mmH\(_2\)O according to Carson et al. However, in Ginosar et al.'s findings, 22 G quincke spinal needle has "equilibration time" of 40.7 seconds which is much shorter compared with Carson's results [2,22].

Although, Ginosar et al. attributed this dramatic difference to the pulsatile model they employed, instead of the non-pulsatile model used by Carson et al.; there may be other factors for this difference. For instance, the different equilibrium times reported by these groups can be due to the type of manometer they used which have a different dead space. Dead space is defined as the inner volume of a manometer per cm height. Dead space is one of the most important factors that influence the “equilibration time” of the CSF pressure measurement.

The time required for the fluid to reach to the top most level in the manometer “equilibration time” is directly proportional to the inner volume of the manometer (dead space) as indicated in the following formula, \( Q = \frac{Q}{t} = \frac{V}{t} \) (flow rate) = volume (dead space)/time (equilibration time).

Carson et al. used a manometer which has dead space of 0.05 ml of CSF per measured height. On the other hand, Ginosar et al. did not indicate the dead space of the manometer they used. From their equilibration time data, it can be inferred that their manometer had a smaller dead space. Any one fold increase in the dead space leads to one fold increase in the “equilibration time”.

The time required to measure CSF pressure and the type of spinal needle used for this procedure is highly variable in different study groups. These variations indicate the need to optimize a robust and reliable method for the CSF pressure measurement other than spinal manometry. Our method is reliable and shortens the time required dramatically for CSF pressure measurement time.

Spinal needle related errors

Carson et al. has reported that spinal needles with gauge less than 22 G are not suitable for measurement of CSF pressure in an acceptable “equilibration time” [22]. However, Ginosar et al. reported that 22 G shaft with tapered and 27 G pencil point spinal needles have similar characteristics as of 22 G quincke spinal needle in terms of their “equilibration time” [2].

The variability of the equilibrium time reported by these groups indicates the need to optimize a robust and reliable method for the CSF pressure. The inconsistency of the "equilibration time" reported by different groups show that "equilibration time" is not a suitable method to measure CSF pressure accurately. Therefore, the time required to measure CSF pressure and the type of spinal needle used for this procedure is highly variable in different study groups. Our method is reliable and shortens the time required dramatically for CSF pressure measurement time.

The flow rate of CSF through the spinal needles depends on their gauge and design characteristics. Spinal needles manufactured by different companies may differ in their inner diameters therefore they can have different flow rates. For instance, a 27 G spinal needle is expected to have smaller inner diameter than a 26 G spinal needle. Contrary to this assumption, Spinocan 26 G needle has an inner diameter (0.22 mm) which is smaller than the inner diameter of Beckton and Dickinson 27 G spinal needle (0.27 mm).

Venkatesh et al. worked on the effect of the gauge, length and design of spinal needles on COP and found that needles with small gauge and long length have extended COP [23]. Zorrilla-Vaca et al. compared the cutting and pencil point needles in terms of PLPH with the different patients of 5631 patients, including 22 studies [24]. There was a significant difference
between the needle gauge and the PLPH in the cutting needles, but not in the pencil points.

**CSF density depended errors**

Cerebrospinal fluid is an active compound is not just a simple ultra-filtrate of plasma. It's a carrier of ions and molecules with low levels of urea and protein and with high levels of Cl− and Mg++. 99% of CSF is composed of water while 92% of the plasma.

Central Nervous System (CNS) disease disrupt the CSF biochemistry. The density of CSF is 1.0003 g/ml (± 0.0003) at 37°C [25]. The density of fluids changes upon heat with increments (i.e., the density of water is 1.000 g/ml at 37°C and 0.9934 g/ml at 4°C [26]. Cerebrospinal fluid density has been calculated in several CNS disease with the highest density level reported as 1.005 g/ml for a patient with diabetes and convulsion disease [27].

Instead of serum physiologic% 0.9 (density 1.005-1.006 g/ml at 20°C) or mannitol 20% (density 1.07 1.07 g/ml); Robinson et al. used ringer lactate (density 1.005 g/ml) in their model and indicated that this solution has the optimum characteristics resembling CSF [15]. The "equilibration time" changes upon use of these solutions with a range of density values [2]. In the light of these findings, the effect of solutions with different densities was tested on the four-drop method with comparison of ringer lactate and mannitol 20%. Employing our four-drop method, we found a high correlation between ringer lactate and mannitol 20% solutions. With these findings, we have overcome the effect of density variations.

**Choosing CSF model type**

In this study, the choice of CSF model type was of importance. CSF circulation with to-fro movements is classically known as third circulation [11,28,29]. The ease of pressure adjustment in non-pulsatile system is an advantage for this type of CSF model [30]. But, using a non-pulsatile CSF model is disadvantageous when modelling the pulsatile CSF movement. In pulsatile CSF models, the difficulty of pressure level adjustment compelled the researchers to concentrate their measurements on a single or double pressure level [2,22]. In our novel device, the need to construct a reference table a pressure level from 1 cm H₂O to 30 cm H₂O was measured in terms of fourth drop time interval. If a pulsatile CSF model was chosen, it would not be possible to accurately adjust 30 different pressure levels. Nevertheless, our four-drop method was shown to be indifferent in pulsatile and non-pulsatile CSF models.

**Limitations**

Efforts have been made to reduce the limitations in this study. The measurements were standardized with respect to the needle gauge that is the outer diameter of the needles. However, the outer diameter of the needles can be misleading for the inner diameter size which can change in different brand types.
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